



CONT.P.(MD)No.349 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 23.06.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.V.KARTHIKEYAN

CONT.P.(MD)No.349 of 2023

in

W.P(MD)No.18474 of 2022

M.Jayalakshmi

... Petitioner

Vs

Sivakrishna Moorthy,
The Commissioner
Tirunelveli
Corporation Office, Raja Maligai,
Tirunelveli.

... Contemnor/Respondent

PRAYER: Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, to issue summon and punish the respondent for his act of contempt of Court, deliberately disobeyed the order dated 08.09.2022 in WP(MD) No. 18474 of 2022.

For Petitioner	: Mr. S.Arunnithy
For Respondent	: Ms.M.Anitha Standing Counsel



CONTP.(MD)No.349 of 2023

ORDER

WEB COPY

The contempt petition has been filed complaining that the third respondent had not complied with the direction of this Court in W.P.(MD). No. 18474 of 2022, dated 08.09.2022.

2.It is stated that the petitioner had purchased a demand draft for the taxes to be paid. The only issue is adjustment of taxes to the particular month, for which, it was paid. This issue can be examined only by a discussion between the petitioner and the respondent. Let the petitioner herein go over to the office of the respondent.

3.This contempt petition is closed by giving two liberties to the petitioner:

- 1. Enter into a discussion with the respondent about the month/year, for which taxes were paid.*



WEB COPY



CONT.P(MD)No.349 of 2023

2. *To file a fresh contempt petition, if again, it is considered that the respondent has not complied with the order.*

23.06.2023

NCS :Yes/No
Index :Yes/No
Internet:Yes/No
PNM



WEB COPY



CONT.P.(MD)No.349 of 2023

C.V.KARTHIKEYAN, J.

PNM

ORDER MADE IN
CONT.P.(MD)No.349 of 2023
in
W.P(MD)No.18474 of 2022

23.06.2023