



Crl.O.P(MD).No.3469 of 2021

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED :29.08.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD).No. 3469 of 2021 and
Crl.M.P(MD).No.1910 of 2021

T.A. Ramasamy

... Petitioner

-Vs-

1. The State rep. by
the Inspector of Police,
S.S.Colony Police Station (Crimes),
Madurai City,
(Crime No.990 of 2014)

2.P. Mayil Raj

... Respondents

PRAYER:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., to call for the records pertaining to the case in C.C.No.1231 of 2019 pending on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.V, Madurai, Madurai District and quash the same as against the petitioner.

For Petitioner	: Mr. R. Anand
For Respondent No.1	: Mr. M.Sakthikumar Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
For Respondent No.2	: Mr. C.M. Arumugam

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to call for



Crl.O.P(MD).No.3469 of 2021

WEB COPY

records in C.C.No.1231 of 2019 pending on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No.V, Madurai and quash the same as against the petitioner.

2. According to the petitioner, the second respondent filed a complaint before the first respondent. On the basis of the complaint, the first respondent registered a First Information Report in Crime No.990 of 2014 on the file of the first respondent police for the offences under sections 406 and 420 IPC.

3. The case of the prosecution according to the second respondent is that on 12.08.2013, the defacto complainant / 2nd respondent herein had a contract with the accused persons of the present case for the purpose of purchasing three Mini Vans for a sum of Rs.4,60,000/-. One of the condition for buying the same that the accused No.1 has to clear out the existing loan with the private finance company and thereupon, he has to get no objection certificate also. Accepting the said terms, the accused persons are said to have received the said amount and entrusted the possession of said vehicles to the second respondent. Thereafter, they failed to get no objection certificate and thereby, cheated to the tune of



Crl.O.P(MD).No.3469 of 2021

Rs.4,60,000/- . In fact, soon after the registration of the First Information Report, all the accused filed a petition in Crl.O.P(MD).No.17828 of 2014 to quash the First Information Report and the same was withdrawn since the investigation was completed.

4. According to the petitioner, the offence under Section 420 IPC would not attract since it is not a case of the second respondent that the petitioner at the first instance was being present and made a false representation and thereby, induced the second respondent to part with the huge amount. Insofar as Section 406 IPC is concerned, it is not the case of the second respondent that the petitioner has received a sum of Rs.4,60,000/- and hence, the question of criminal breach of trust in terms of Section 405 IPC would not arise. Therefore, the charge sheet as against the petitioner is liable to be quashed.

5. No counter has been filed by the respondents.

6. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would contend that based on the complaint given by the second respondent the



Crl.O.P(MD).No.3469 of 2021

first respondent registered First Information Report in Crime No.990 of 2014 for the offences under sections 406 and 420 IPC alleging that the petitioner along with other accused sold the three mini vans for a sum of Rs.4,60,000/- on condition that they have to obtain no objection certificate, but, they have failed to get no objection certificate and thereby, cheated a sum of Rs.4,60,000/-. He would further submit that the petitioner is no way connected with this case and he has not received any amount and he was not at all signed in the alleged agreement. Therefore, the charge sheet filed by the first respondent is liable to be quashed.

7. The learned counsel appearing for the second respondent would submit that this petitioner known to the de facto complainant and he has only approached the other accused for the purpose of purchase of the vehicles to the defacto complainant and he has also present at the time of receipt of the amount and thereby, the second respondent given a complaint against this petitioner and others. Since the petitioner also participated in the occurrence thereby, the final report was filed against him and therefore, this Criminal Original Petition is liable to be dismissed.

8. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing



Crl.O.P(MD).No.3469 of 2021

for the first respondent would submit that based on the complaint given by the second respondent first respondent registered First Information Report in Crime No.990 of 2014 and the investigation in this case has been completed and final report was filed before the concerned Court. He would further submit that the petitioner has also involved in that occurrence and he only introduced the other accused to the second respondent and he has also directly participated in the occurrence and thereby, it is a matter for trial.

9. I have heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials available on record.

10. On perusal of the affidavit it is seen that the second respondent had an agreement with the accused persons for the purchase of three mini vans for a sum of Rs.4,60,000/- and in that agreement, the petitioner's name is not find place. However, as per the investigation of the first respondent, this petitioner has also involved in the occurrence and he only introduced the accused to the defacto complainant and already this has given a cheque leaf and undertaking letter before the first respondent



CrI.O.P(MD).No.3469 of 2021

and hence, this facts have to be decided by way of trial. As far as the offence under Sections 420 and 406 IPC are concerned according to the petitioner, he was not participated in the occurrence and he is not a party to the documents and no amount was entrusted to him and thereby, those offence are not made against the petitioner, but, as per investigation he also participated in the money transaction and he only introduced the accused to the defacto complainant and these disputed facts to be proved through trial Court and not at this stage through this petition.

11. As per the prosecution the petitioner has also directly participated in the occurrence and he only introduced the other accused to the defacto complainant and he has also given a cheque leaf for the disputed amount to the second respondent and thereby, it needs elaborate trial. Therefore, at this stage, this court applied the guidelines issued by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *Neeharika Infrastructure Pvt Ltd vs. State of Maharastra and Others* reported in **2021 SCC Online SC 315**, decline to quash the Charge sheet and accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.



CrI.O.P(MD).No.3469 of 2021

WEB COPY

12. At this juncture, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner represented before this Court that the personal appearance of the petitioner before the trial Court may be dispensed with.

13. As far as dispensing with the personal appearance of the petitioner before the trial Court is concerned, it can only be decided by the trial Court on application filed by the petitioner. If any application filed by the petitioner, on such application, the trial Court is directed to consider the same in accordance with law. All the grounds raised by the petitioner in this petition can be agitated before the trial Court. Considering the facts and circumstances, it is appropriate to direct the trial Court to dispose of C.C.No.1231 of 2019 as early as possible preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

29.08.2023

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No
trp



Crl.O.P(MD).No.3469 of 2021

WEB COPY

- To
1. The Inspector of Police,
S.S.Colony Police Station (Crimes),
Madurai City,
 2. The Additional Public Prosecutor
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD).No.3469 of 2021

P.DHANABAL, J.

trp

Crl.O.P(MD).No. 3469 of 2021 and
Crl.M.P(MD).No.1910 of 2021

29.08.2023