



HCP(MD)No.200 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 24.07.2023

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

H.C.P.(MD)No.200 of 2023

Rajeshkumar

... Petitioner / Himself of the Detenue

Vs.

1.The Additional Chief Secretary to the Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 600 009.

2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Thanjavur District, Thanjavur.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Tiruchirapalli.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the records pertaining to the impugned detention order passed by the 2nd respondent made in his proceedings in P.D.No.167/2022 dated 09.12.2022 in detaining the detenue under Section 2(f) of the Tamil Nadu Act, 14 of 1982 as a Goonda and



HCP(MD)No.200 of 2023

quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu namely Rajeshkumar S/o.Rajendran, Male, aged about 34 years, who is detained at Central Prison, Trichy, before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.O.S.Thilak Pasumbadiyar

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by *M.S.RAMESH,J.*)

The petitioner is the detenu viz., Rajeshkumar S/o.Rajendran, Male, aged about 34 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in P.D.No.167/2022 dated 09.12.2022, holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2.We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.



HCP(MD)No.200 of 2023

WEB COPY

3. Among other grounds, the detention order is mainly attacked on the ground that there is a delay in passing the order of detention. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner strongly contended that though the detenu was arrested on 20.10.2022, the detention order was passed only on 09.12.2022 i.e., after a considerable delay of more than 45 days. Therefore, the detention order has to be set aside.

4. As seen from the grounds of detention, it is clear that though the detenu was arrested on 20.10.2022, the order of detention came to be passed only on 09.12.2022 and hence, there is an abnormal delay in passing the order of Detention, which caused prejudice to the interest of the detenu.

5. We have gone through the entire materials placed on record. There is no satisfactory explanation offered by the Detaining Authority for the delay in passing the order of detention. Hence the impugned order of detention is liable to be set aside.



HCP(MD)No.200 of 2023

6.In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in P.D.No.167/2022 dated 09.12.2022 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Rajeshkumar S/o.Rajendran, Male, aged about 34 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(M.S.R.,J.) & (M.N.K.,J.)
24.07.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No

Yuva

To

- 1.The Additional Chief Secretary to the Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public Law and Order
Fort St.George,
Chennai-600 009.
- 4.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Thanjavur District, Thanjavur.

Page 4 of 6



HCP(MD)No.200 of 2023

WEB COPY

5.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Tiruchirapalli.

6.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.200 of 2023

**M.S.RAMESH, J.
AND
M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.**

Yuva

H.C.P.(MD)No.200 of 2023

24.07.2023