



Cont.P.(MD) No.468 of 2023

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 20.04.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SURESH KUMAR

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR

CONT.P.(MD)No.468 of 2023

Neelakandan

... Petitioner / Petitioner

-Vs-

- 1.The District Collector,
Thanjavur District.
- 2.The Sub Collector,
Office of the Revenue Divisional Officer,
Pattukottai.
- 3.The Project Director,
District Rural Development Agency,
Thanjavur District.
- 4.The Assistant Director,
(Village Panchayat),
Office of the Block Development Officer,
Pattukottai, Thanjavur District.
- 5.The Tahsildar,
Pattukottai, Thanjavur District.

Page 1 of 5



Cont.P.(MD) No.468 of 2023

6.The Block Development Officer,
(Village Panchayat), Pattukottai,
Thanjavur District.

... Contemnors / Respondents

PRAYER : Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, to punish the respondents / contemnors for their willful disobedience of the order dated 29.10.2021 passed by this Court in W.M.P.(MD)No.16111 of 2021 in W.P.(MD)No.19387 of 2021.

For Petitioner : No Appearance

For Respondents : Mr.A.K.Manikkam,
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by R.SURESHKUMAR, J.]

The Division Bench of this Court, by order dated 29.10.2021 in W.M.P.(MD)No.16111 of 2021 in W.P.(MD)No.19387 of 2021, has passed an interim order to maintain the *status-quo* pertaining to S.F.No.92/21 at Ponnavarayankottai Village, Pattukottai Taluk, Thanjavur District.

2.The said order, according to the petitioner, has allegedly been violated. Therefore, the present Contempt Petition has been filed.

Page 2 of 5



Cont.P.(MD) No.468 of 2023

WEB COPY

3.However, Mr.A.K.Manikkam, learned Special Government Pleader, who takes notice on behalf of the respondents, on instructions, submits that the order of *status-quo* was directed to be maintained in S.F.No.92/21, whereas the proposed construction at the time to have a Panchayat Office building was undertaken only in S.F.No.91/16, which is no-way connected to S.F.No.92/21. Therefore, there is no violation on the part of the respondents in respect of the interim order as referred to above.

4.Recording the said statement made by the learned Special Government Pleader for the respondents, we feel that this Contempt Petition can be closed, accordingly, it is closed. However, still if the petitioner has got any grievance with regard to the interim order granted by this Court as referred to above, it is open to him to agitate the issue by reviving this Contempt Petition.

[R.S.K., J.] & [K.M.S., J.]
20.04.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
MYR

Page 3 of 5



Cont.P.(MD) No.468 of 2023

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The District Collector,
Thanjavur District.
- 2.The Sub Collector,
Office of the Revenue Divisional Officer,
Pattukottai.
- 3.The Project Director,
District Rural Development Agency,
Thanjavur District.
- 4.The Assistant Director,
(Village Panchayat),
Office of the Block Development Officer,
Pattukottai, Thanjavur District.
- 5.The Tahsildar,
Pattukottai, Thanjavur District.
- 6.The Block Development Officer,
(Village Panchayat), Pattukottai,
Thanjavur District.

Page 4 of 5



WEB COPY



Cont.P.(MD) No.468 of 2023

R.SURESH KUMAR, J.

AND

K.MURALI SHANKAR, J.

MYR

CONT.P.(MD)No.468 of 2023

20.04.2023

Page 5 of 5