



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2586 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 20.02.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2586 of 2023

1.V.Velu

2.V.Sathyaraj

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.The Inspector of Police,
Thirupullani Police Station,
Ramanathapuram.
Crime No.219 of 2022.

2.Sethuraja

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records pertaining to the Crime No.219 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Ayyanar Prem Kumar

For R1 : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R2 : Mr.S.Sureshkumar



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2586 of 2023

ORDER

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed, invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeking orders, to call for the records pertaining to the Crime No.219 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent and quash the same as illegal.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there existed pathway dispute between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, due to with, the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant with wooden log and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the second respondent has lodged a complaint before the first respondent and on that basis, FIR came to be registered in Crime No.219 of 2022, dated 21.10.2022 for the offences under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) IPC, against the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2586 of 2023

WEB COPY

4. The case is still under the investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.

5. A Joint Memo of Compromise has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioners and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioner and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by the concerned Police Officer as well as by the learned counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

6. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has power to quash the complaint for the offences under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) IPC.

7. The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another*** reported in

3/6



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2586 of 2023

(2012)10 SCC 303 and ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath*** reported in **(2017)9 SCC 641** were taken into consideration.

8. In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No.219 of 2022 pending before the first respondent police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.219 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order.

20.02.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
das



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2586 of 2023

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Thirupullani Police Station,
Ramanathapuram.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2586 of 2023

G.ILANGO VAN,J.

das

Order made in
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2586 of 2023

Dated: 20.02.2023