



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2483 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **03.03.2023**

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2483 of 2023

Antony Mathankumar

... Petitioner/
Accused

Vs.

1.The State represented by
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Jeyamangalam Police Station,
Theni District.
(Crime No.35 of 2022)

... 1st Respondent/
Complainant

2.Prabu

... 2nd Respondent/
Defacto
Complainant

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records in the case in Crime No. 35 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same as illegal, violation of law.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Muthupandi

For R1 : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R2 : Mr.V.Sridhar



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2483 of 2023

WEB COPY

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed, invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeking orders to call for the records pertaining to the First Information Report in Crime No.35 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner had driven an auto in a high speed and dashed against the second respondent/defacto complainant's goats and when the same was questioned by the second respondent, the petitioner had abused him in filthy language and also attacked him.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the second respondent has lodged a complaint before the first respondent and on that basis, FIR came to be registered in Crime No.35 of 2022 dated 22.02.2022 for the offences under Sections 294(b), 323 and 506(1) IPC against the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2483 of 2023

4. The case is still under the investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.

5. A Joint Memo of Compromise has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioner and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioner and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by M/s.B.Veeralakshmi Gandhan, Special Sub Inspector, Jeyamangalam Police Station as well as by the learned counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

6. In the instant case, the dispute is of personal in nature and now the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has power to quash the complaint for the offences under Sections 294(b), 323 and 506(1) IPC.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2483 of 2023

7. The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another*** reported in ***(2012)10 SCC 303*** and ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujraath*** reported in ***(2017)9 SCC 641*** were taken into consideration.

8. In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No.35 of 2022 pending before the first respondent police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.35 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order.

03.03.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
csm



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2483 of 2023

WEB COPY

- To
- 1.The Sub Inspector of Police,
Jeyamangalam Police Station,
Theni District.
 - 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2483 of 2023

K.MURALI SHANKAR,J.

csn

Order made in
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2483 of 2023

Dated: 03.03.2023