



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 07/02/2023

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP(MD) . No.2471 of 2023

1. Chinnasamy

2. Jeeva

3. Kousalyadevi

... Petitioners 1 to 3/Accused Nos. 2,3,4

Vs

State Rep.by

The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Usilampatti,
Madurai District.

(Crime No.34/2022)..

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: M/s.Sarvagan Prabhu S,Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.A.Albert James,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.34/2022 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/Accused, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 498(A), 406, 506(i) of I.P.C, in Crime No.34 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant viz., Jansi Priyanka is that she was married to the first accused on 25.05.2018. At the time of marriage, the petitioners 1 & 2/parents of A1 agreed to settle their son and the complainant at Trichy. Later, they failed to do so. Later,

<https://www.courtsofmadras.org/>



the accused persons also harassed her and demanded dowry. the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and a false case was foisted against them. The first petitioner and the second petitioners are in-laws of the defacto complainant and parents of A1. The third petitioner is the sister-in-law of the defacto complainant. After marriage, both the A1 and the defacto complainant were living separately. There is no other allegation against the petitioners, except that they ensured that they would set up separate house. Due to matrimonial dispute an exaggerated and a false complaint has been given. Hence, prays to release them on anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that in this case, the accused persons had demanded dowry and harassed the defacto complainant. Hence, prays to dismiss the petition.

5.Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed before this Court.

6.Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of dispute between the parties, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Usilampatti, Madurai**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10:30 a.m., for a period of two weeks, thereafter, on every Saturday at 10:30 a.m., until further orders;

(c)the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law, as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused/ petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

07/02/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/02/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

PNM

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I,
USILAMPATTI, MADURAI

2 DO-THROUGH :
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
MADURAI DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, USILAMPATTI,
MADURAI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.S.SARVAGAN PRABHU, Advocate (SR-2041[I] dated
09/02/2023)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.2471 of 2023

Date : 07/02/2023

PKP/SBN/SAR-1/14.02.2023/3P/6C