



HCP(MD)No.92 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 26.07.2023

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

H.C.P.(MD)No.92 of 2023

Mahesh

.. Petitioner / Detenu

Vs.

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. by its Principal Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Virudhunagar District, Virudhunagar.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Madurai.

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the records pertaining to the impugned detention order passed by the 2nd respondent made in his proceedings in Cr.M.P.No.52/2022 dated 24.12.2022 and set aside the same



HCP(MD)No.92 of 2023

and direct the respondents 1 to 3 to produce the detenu Mahesh, S/o.Ooraniyan, aged about 31 years, now confined in Central Prison, Madurai, before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Jothi Basu

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.S.RAMESH,J.)

The petitioner is the detenu viz., Mahesh, S/o.Ooraniyan, aged about 31 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in Cr.M.P.No.52/2022 dated 24.12.2022 holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.



HCP(MD)No.92 of 2023

WEB COPY

3.Among other grounds, the detention order is mainly attacked on the ground that there is a delay in passing the order of detention. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner strongly contended that though the detenu was arrested on 12.11.2022, the detention order was passed only on 24.12.2022 i.e., after a considerable delay of more than 40 days. Therefore, the detention order has to be set aside.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor while objecting this petition submitted that on completion of investigation, charge sheet has been filed in S.C.No.77 of 2023 and the same is pending before the Additional District Judge, Srivilliputhur.

5.As seen from the grounds of detention, it is clear that though the detenu was arrested on 12.11.2022, the order of detention came to be passed only on 24.12.2022 and hence, there is an abnormal delay in passing the order of Detention, which caused prejudice to the interest of the detenu.



HCP(MD)No.92 of 2023

WEB COPY

6. We have gone through the entire materials placed on record.

There is no satisfactory explanation offered by the Detaining Authority for the delay in passing the order of detention. Hence the impugned order of detention is liable to be set aside.

7. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in Cr.M.P.No.52/2022, dated 24.12.2022 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Mahesh, S/o.Ooraniyan, aged about 31 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(M.S.R.,J.) (M.N.K.,J.)
26.07.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No

PNM/RR



HCP(MD)No.92 of 2023

To

1. The Principal Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009.
2. The Joint Secretary
Public (Law and Order)
Secretariat, Chennai.
3. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Virudhunagar District, Virudhunagar.
4. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Madurai.
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.92 of 2023

M.S.RAMESH,J.
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR,J.

PNM/RR

H.C.P.(MD)No.92 of 2023

26.07.2023