



W.P(MD)No.1011 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 19.01.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN**

W.P(MD)No.1011 of 2023

and

W.M.P(MD)Nos.953 & 955 of 2023

C.Koil Pitchi

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Electricity Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum,
Represented by Superintending Engineer,
TANGEDCO,
Thoothukudi Electricity Distribution Circle,
Thoothukudi District.

2.The Executive Engineer,
TANGEDCO,
Tiruchendur,
Thoothukudi District.

3.The Assistant Executive Engineer,
TANGEDCO,
Nazareth,
Thoothukudi District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned proceedings issued by the third respondent herein issued impugned proceedings vide Ka.No.OU Se Po/V/Nazareth/Va.Aa/KOLTCT/A.No.434/22, dated 10.09.2022 and



W.P(MD)No.1011 of 2023

subsequently the first respondent herein issued impugned proceedings vide in Action No.1835/May Po/ Thoo Me Pa Va/Thoo D/Ma Tho A/ Valar /Ou Po/ REC/2022, dated 19.12.2022 and quash the same and further direct the respondents herein to permit the petitioner to pay the due short assessment amount of Rs.16,81,707/- (Rupees Sixteen Lakhs Eighty One Thousand Seven Hundred and Seven) by 42 months installments along with regular bill.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Ajith Geethan

For Respondents : Mr.S.Deenadhayalan
Standing Counsel

ORDER

Heard the learned Counsel on either side.

2. The petitioner is a consumer of electricity. The petitioner is in arrears. He approached the second respondent for relief. The second respondent granted the facility of paying in easy installments. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner moved the first respondent. The first respondent declined to interfere in the matter. Challenging the same, this writ petition came to be filed. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner reiterated all the contentions set out in the affidavit filed in the support of the writ petition called upon this Court to grant relief as prayed for.



W.P(MD)No.1011 of 2023

3. I am not persuaded by the submissions of the learned Counsel for the petitioner. As rightly pointed out by the learned Standing Counsel, the second respondent himself has graciously given installment facility to the petitioner. In such matters, there is no scope for writ Court to interfere. I endorse the stand of the learned Standing Counsel. The order passed by the second respondent will have to be complied with the petitioner. This writ petition stands dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions stand closed.

19.01.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
Btr

To

1.The Superintending Engineer,
The Electricity Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum,
TANGEDCO,
Thoothukudi Electricity Distribution Circle,
Thoothukudi District.

2.The Executive Engineer,
TANGEDCO,
Tiruchendur,
Thoothukudi District.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.1011 of 2023

G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J.

Btr

3. The Assistant Executive Engineer,
TANGEDCO,
Nazareth,
Thoothukudi District.

W.P(MD)No.1011 of 2023

and

W.M.P(MD)Nos.953 & 955 of 2023

19.01.2023