



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 23.01.2023

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL.O.P(MD)No.1118 of 2023

WEB COPY

1.Alaguraja
2.Mathavi
3.Karnan
4.Jeyakodi
5.Ranjith

...Petitioners/Accused No 1-5

-vs-

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Pattiveeranpatti Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Cr.No.05 of 2023)

...Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C, praying to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in connection with Cr.No.05 of 2023 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioners : Mr.K.Palmurugan, Advocate


For Respondent : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi,
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 294(b), 323 and 506(i) of IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act in Crime No.05 of 2023 on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution, as per the de-facto complainant, is that on 31.12.2022 at around 01.00 pm, the petitioners have trespassed into the house of the de-facto complainant and also abused him in filthy language and also assaulted him and his mother and criminally intimidated them. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned Counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocents and due to a wordy quarrel, a false complaint has been given. He would also submit that it is a case and case in counter and on the basis of the complaint given by the petitioners' side, a case in Cr.No.04 of 2023 came to be registered against the de-facto complainant's side. He would further submit



that the second petitioner is the eight months pregnant woman. He would also submit that the injured has been discharged from hospital and would seek for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (crl.side) would submit that on 31.12.2022 at around 01.00 pm, the petitioners have trespassed into the house of the de-facto complainant and also abused him in filthy language and also assaulted him and his mother and criminally intimidated them. He would oppose for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5.Heard the learned Counsel. Taking into consideration the facts and submissions and also on perusing the materials available on record including the F.I.R., this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Nilakottai, on condition that the petitioners shall execute bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners 1, 3 and 4 shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders. The second petitioner shall report before the respondent Police as and when required on issuance of summons.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.



[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FII registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
23/01/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

WEB COPY

/01/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

CMR

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NILAKOTTAI.
- 2 -DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PATTIVEERANPATTI POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.PRATHEESH.P Advocate SR.No. 1007(I)

ORDER
IN
CRL MP(MD) No.1118 of 2023
Date :23/01/2023

VA/MMS/SAR-1/31.01.2023/2P/6C