



W.P. (MD) No. 1139 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 23.01.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.D. AUDIKESAVALU

W.P. (MD) No. 1139 of 2023

and

W.M.P. (MD) Nos. 1056 and 1057 of 2023

Tvl.Sachin Recreation Club,
D.No.6-23/7, Keezha Chithiramcode,
Sandam, Manalikai (Po),
Kanniyakumari District,
Rep by its Secretary,
G.Pauldhas.

... Petitioner

-VS-

The State Tax Officer,
Thucklay-1,
Kanniyakumari District.

... Respondent

Prayer:- Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorari, to call for the records pertaining to the impugned order passed by the respondent in his proceedings in TIN No. 33116503355/2020-21 dated 28.12.2022 and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioner : Mr. C.Jegannathan

For Respondent : Mr. D.Gandhiraj

Special Government Pleader



W.P. (MD) No. 1139 of 2023

ORDER

WEB COPY

Heard Mr. C.Jeganathan, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner and Mr. D.Gandhiraj, Learned Special Government Pleader, who takes notice for the Respondent and perused the materials placed on record, apart from the pleadings of the parties.

2. The Writ Petition challenges the notice dated 28.12.2022 issued by the Respondent, which is evidently a notice calling for objections, if any, from the Petitioner for the proposal to levy tax under the Tamil Nadu Value Added Tax Act, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as 'the TNVAT Act' for short).

3. It is the case of the Petitioner that in view of the doctrine of mutuality, the supply of liquor to the members of its club would not amount to a transaction of sale of goods from one person to another attracting taxation under the TNVAT Act, placing reliance on the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in ***State of West Bengal -vs- Calcutta Club*** [(2019) 19 SCC 107], where it has been held as follows:-

“52.1 The doctrine of mutuality continues to be applicable to incorporated and unincorporated members' clubs after the 46th



Amendment adding Article 366(29-A) to the Constitution of India.

52.2 CTO-vs-Young Men's Indian Assn. [(1970) 1 SCC 462]

and other judgments which applied this doctrine continue to hold the field even after the 46th Amendment.

52.3 Sub-clause (f) of Article 366(29-A) has no application to members' clubs.”

4. The consistent legal position has been reiterated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in ***Union of India -vs- Kunisetty Satyanarayana*** [(2006) 12 SCC 28] that a charge memo or show cause notice cannot be challenged before the completion of enquiry and the proceedings cannot be interdicted till it reaches its logical conclusion. It would be useful here to extract the relevant passages from the said decision which read as follows:-

*“13. It is well settled by a series of decisions of this Court that ordinarily no writ lies against a charge sheet or show-cause notice vide **Executive Engineer, Bihar State Housing Board -vs- Ramdesh Kumar Singh** [JT 1995 (8) SC 331], **Special Director -vs- Mohd. Ghulam Ghouse** (AIR 2004 SC 1467), **Ulagappa -vs- Divisional Commissioner, Mysore** [2001(10) SCC 639], **State of U.P. -vs- Brahm Datt Sharma** (AIR 1987 SC 943) etc.*



WEB COPY

14. *The reason why ordinarily a writ petition should not be entertained against a mere show-cause notice or charge-sheet is that at that stage the writ petition may be held to be premature. A mere charge-sheet or show-cause notice does not give rise to any cause of action, because it does not amount to an adverse order which affects the rights of any party unless the same has been issued by a person having no jurisdiction to do so. It is quite possible that after considering the reply to the show-cause notice or after holding an enquiry the authority concerned may drop the proceedings and/or hold that the charges are not established. It is well settled that a writ lies when some right of any party is infringed. A mere show-cause notice or charge-sheet does not infringe the right of any one. It is only when a final order imposing some punishment or otherwise adversely affecting a party is passed, that the said party can be said to have any grievance.*



W.P. (MD) No. 1139 of 2023

- (i) it shall be incumbent upon the Petitioner to submit its explanation to the show cause notice, which is impugned in the Writ Petition, if not done already, to the concerned authority by 28.02.2023;
- (ii) in the event of not being satisfied with the explanation submitted by the Petitioner, an enquiry shall be conducted following the prescribed procedure after affording full opportunity of personal hearing to the Petitioner to explain its position in that regard and a reasoned order shall be passed dealing with each of the contentions raised on merits and in accordance with law and the decision taken communicated under written acknowledgment;
- (iii) if any adverse decision is taken, the Petitioner may pursue legal remedies in accordance with law;
- (iv) consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed; and
- (v) there shall be no order as to costs.

23.01.2023

NCC : Yes/No
Index: Yes/No
ta

Note: Issue order copy by 31.01.2023.



WEB COPY



W.P. (MD) No. 1139 of 2023

To

The State Tax Officer,
Thucklay-1,
Kanniyakumari District.



WEB COPY



W.P. (MD) No. 1139 of 2023

P.D. AUDIKESAVALU, J.

ta

W.P(MD). No. 1139 of 2023

23.01.2023