



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.1656 of 2021

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 30.06.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.1656 of 2021

1.Raja

2.Gopalakrishnan @ Gopalakannan

...Petitioners

Vs.

1. State Rep. by

The Inspector of Police,
Austinpatti Police Station,
Madurai District
(In Crime.No.141/2019)

...1st Respondent / Complainant

2.Arsunakannan @ Archunakannan

... 2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

(Name of the 2nd petitioner and 2nd respondent in the Cause title has been amended as per order dated 30.06.2023 in Crl.M.P.(MD).No.9493 of 2023)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records pertaining to the impugned FIR in Crime No.141 of 2019 dated 18.05.2019 pending on the file of the 1st Respondent Police and quash the same.



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.1656 of 2021

For Petitioners : Mr. M.Babar Mirija
For R1 : Mr. S.Manikandan
Government Advocate(Crl. Side).
For R2 : Mr.R.Sankar Ganesh

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking to quash the impugned FIR in Crime No.141 of 2019 on the file of the 1st respondent police.

2.The contention of the 2nd petitioner is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.141 of 2019 for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC against the petitioners.

3.The further contention of the 2nd petitioner is that they compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the 2nd petitioner and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4.The 2nd petitioner and the 2nd respondent appeared before this



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.1656 of 2021

Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards.

They were also identified by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the 2nd petitioner and also in view of the joint compromise memo, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending and inclined to quash all further proceedings in Crime No.141 of 2019 pending on the file of the 1st respondent police in respect of these petitioners.

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.141 of 2019, pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is hereby quashed in respect of the 2nd



CrI.O.P.(MD).No.1656 of 2021

petitioner. The compromise memo is recorded and the terms of joint

compromise memo shall form part of this order.

30.06.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
TM

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Austinpatti Police Station,
Madurai District
(In Crime.No.141/2019)
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.1656 of 2021

G.ILANGOVAN,J.

TM

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.1656 of 2021

30.06.2023