



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.981 of 2023*

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **23.03.2023**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN**

**Crl.O.P.(MD)No.981 of 2023**

1.Rajesh

2.Jeyaprakash

3.Alexander

4.Chellappa

5.Madhusudhanan @ Mathu

... Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 5

Vs.

1.The State, represented by  
The Inspector of Police,  
K.Pudhur Police Station,  
Madurai City.  
(Crime No.63 of 2022).

...1<sup>st</sup> Respondent/ Respondent

2.Senthil

...2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent/Defacto Complainant

**PRAYER :** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the entire records pertaining to the case in Crime No.63 of 2022 on the file of the Inspector of Police, K.Pudhur Police Station, Madurai District, dated 10/02/2022 and quash the same.



WEB COPY



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.981 of 2023*

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Thinakaran  
For R1 : Mr.B.Nambiselvan  
Additional Public Prosecutor  
For R2 : Mr.S.Balaji

**ORDER**

This petition has been filed seeking to quash the impugned FIR in Crime No.63 of 2022 on the file of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent police.

2.The contention of the petitioner is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.63 of 2022 for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 294(b), 323 of IPC against the petitioner.

3.The further contention of the petitioner is that they compromised the issue with the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioner and the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4.The petitioner and the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.981 of 2023*

were also identified by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioner and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 02.02.2023, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending. Hence, all further proceedings in Crime No.63 of 2022 pending on the file of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent police is quashed and the compromise memo is recorded.

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.63 of 2022, pending on the file of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent police is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioner and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

**23.03.2023**

NCC : Yes / No  
Index : Yes / No  
Internet : Yes / No  
Indu

3/4



WEB COPY



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.981 of 2023*

**G.ILANGO VAN,J.**

Indu

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,  
K.Pudhur Police Station,  
Madurai City.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.

Order made in  
**Crl.O.P.(MD)No.981 of 2023**

Dated: 23.03.2023