



HCP(MD)No.52 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 25.07.2023

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

H.C.P.(MD)No.52 of 2023

C.Kalaiselvi

.. Petitioner / Mother of the Detenu

Vs.

1.State of Tamil Nadu

Represented by the Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai – 600 009.

2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Tirunelveli District,
Tirunelveli.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli.

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the entire records connected with the detention order passed in M.H.S.Confdl.No.220/2022 dated 16.12.2022



HCP(MD)No.52 of 2023

on the file of the second respondent herein and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu or body of the detenu i.e., the petitioner's son namely Muthu Vijayaraj, aged about 35 years, S/o.Chandrasekar, now detained at the Central Prison, Palayamkottai, before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Pragalathan

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.S.RAMESH,J.)

The petitioner is the mother of the detenu viz., Muthu Vijayaraj, S/o.Chandrasekar, aged about 35 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in M.H.S.Confdl No.220/2022 dated 16.12.2022 holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.



HCP(MD)No.52 of 2023

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though several grounds have been raised in the Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would mainly focus his argument on the ground that the detaining authority, after being aware of the fact that there was no bail application filed by the detenu in the ground case, came to the conclusion that there is a real possibility of the detenu coming out on bail by relying upon the order passed in Cr.M.P. No.6863/2022 dated 08.08.2022. The learned counsel therefore submitted that the order that was relied upon by the detaining authority was not similar and on that score, the detention order is liable to be interfered with.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the Habeas Corpus Petition by filing his counter. It is further submitted that investigation has been completed in this case and final report has been filed in P.R.C.No.37/2023 and the same is pending before the Judicial Magistrate Court, Cheranmahadevi.



HCP(MD)No.52 of 2023

WEB COPY

5. On carefully going through the detention order, the detaining authority was aware of the fact that no bail application was filed by the detenu in the ground case. However, the detaining authority took into consideration the order passed in Cr.M.P.No.6863/2022 dated 08.08.2022 and came to the conclusion that there is a likelihood of the detenu coming out on bail. Perusal of the order shows that the accused therein was enlarged on bail since no other cases have been reported against him by the prosecution. However, in the present case, the detenu was involved in seven previous cases and hence, the bail order that was relied upon by the detaining authority cannot be considered to be a similar one.

6. In view of the above, the detention order suffers from non application of mind and the same is liable to be interfered with by this Court. The impugned detention order is, therefore, liable to be quashed.

7. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in M.H.S.Confdl No.220/2022 dated 16.12.2022 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Muthu Vijayaraj,



HCP(MD)No.52 of 2023

S/o.Chandrasekar, aged about 35 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(M.S.R.,J.) (M.N.K.,J.)
25.07.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Lm/mbi

To

- 1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
State of Tamil Nadu,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Tirunelveli District,
Tirunelveli.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli.
- 4.The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public (Law and Order),
Fort St.George,
Chennai.
- 5.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.52 of 2023

M.S.RAMESH,J.
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR,J.

Lm/mbi

H.C.P.(MD)No.52 of 2023

25.07.2023