



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.661 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 10.03.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.661 of 2023

1. Kayath
2. Mathan Mukesh
3. Ganesh @ Ganesh Kumar
4. Naveen Kannan
5. Deivakumar
6. Nagarajan
7. Perumbadaiyan
8. Sugirtham
9. Amirtha @ Amirthapushpam
10. Mahesh @ Maheswari
11. Navaroja
12. Vanitha
13. Sittu @ Backialakshmi
14. Aruljothi

...Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 14



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.661 of 2023

Vs.

1. State represented by
Inspector of Police,
Pudukottai Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.431 of 2021)

...1st Respondent / Complainant

2. Veni

...2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records and QUASH THE FIR pertaining to the FIR in Crime No.431 of 2021 on the file of the Inspector of Police, Pudukottai Police Station, Thoothukudi District.

For Petitioners : Mr. Ilayaraja.R

For R1 : Mr. S.Manikandan,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R2 : Mr.P. Sujai Krishna

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking to quash the impugned FIR in Crime No.431 of 2021 on the file of the 1st respondent police.

2.The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First



CrI.O.P.(MD).No.661 of 2023

Information Report in Crime No.431 of 2021 for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 294(b), 323, 448, 506(ii) of IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act.

3.The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4.The petitioners and the 2nd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 06.01.2023, this Court is of the opinion that no



CrI.O.P.(MD).No.661 of 2023

useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending and inclined to quash all further proceedings in Crime No.431 of 2021 pending on the file of the 1st respondent police in respect of these petitioners.

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.431 of 2021, pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioners. The compromise memo is recorded and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

10.03.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
TM

To

1. Inspector of Police,
Pudukottai Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.431 of 2021)
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD).No.661 of 2023

G.ILANGOVAN,J.

TM

CrI.O.P.(MD).No.661 of 2023

10.03.2023