



HCP(MD)No.25 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 07.07.2023

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

H.C.P.(MD)No.25 of 20223

R.Valarmathi

.. Petitioner /wife of the
detenu

Vs.

1.Government of Tamil Nadu
rep. by its the Additional Chief Secretary to Government
Home Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai-600 009.

2.The District Collector and District Magistrate
Tiruchirappalli District
Tiruchirappalli.

3.The Superintendent
Central Prison,
Tiruchirappalli District

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the entire records connected with the detention order of the 2nd respondent in Detention Order Cr.M.P.No.54/2022



HCP(MD)No.25 of 2023

on 29.08.2022 and detained at Central Prison, Tiruchirappalli and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the body or person of the petitioner's husband namely Ramasamy male aged about 41/2022 son of Raju, now confined at Central Prison, Tiruchirappalli and set him at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Jameelarasu

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.S.RAMESH, J.)

The petitioner is the wife of the detenu viz., Ramasamy, aged about 41 years, S/o.Raju. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in detention order in Cr.M.P.No.54/2022 on 29.08.2022 holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.



HCP(MD)No.25 of 2023

3. Among other grounds, the detention order is mainly attacked on the ground that there is a delay in passing the order of detention. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner strongly contended that though the detenu was arrested on 09.06.2022, the detention order was passed only on 29.08.2022 i.e., after a considerable delay of more than 70 days. Therefore, the detention order has to be set aside.

4. As seen from the grounds of detention, it is clear that though the detenu was arrested on 09.06.2022, the order of detention came to be passed only on 29.08.2022 and hence, there is an abnormal delay in passing the order of Detention, which caused prejudice to the interest of the detenu.

5. We have gone through the entire materials placed on record. There is no satisfactory explanation offered by the Detaining Authority for the delay in passing the order of detention. Hence the impugned order of detention is liable to be set aside.



HCP(MD)No.25 of 2023

6. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in Cr.M.P.No.54/2022 on 29.08.2022 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Ramasamy S/o.Raju, aged about 41 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(M.S.R.,J.) (M.N.K.,J.)
07.07.2023

NCC : Yes/No
Internet : Yes
RR

To

- 1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government
Home Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai-600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate
Tiruchirappalli District
Tiruchirappalli.
- 3.The Superintendent
Central Prison,
Tiruchirappalli District
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.25 of 2023

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR,J.

RR

H.C.P.(MD)No.25 of 2023

07.07.2023