



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.342 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED:09.06.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.ILANGO VAN**

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.342 of 2023

1.Veerappan
2.Murugesan
3.Vidivelli
4.Munusamy @ Muniasamy
5.Muthu @ Muthukumar
6.Anjammal : Petitioner/A1 to A6

Vs.

1.State rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Nagudi Police Station,
Pudukkottai District.
(Crime No.165 of 2022) : R1/Complainant
2.Sakthivel : R2/De-facto Complainant
3.Ramu @ Ramkumar
4.Seeni @ Seenivasan
5.Malimuthu : R3 to R5/Victims

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to call for the records in FIR in Crime No.165 of 2022 on the file of the 1st respondent police and quash the same as against the petitioners and pass such other or further orders.

For Petitioners : Mr.P.Balasubramanian
For R1 : Mr.S.Manikandan
Government Advocate
(Criminal side)
For R2 to R5 : Mr.D.Rameshkumar



ORDER

WEB COPY

This petition has been filed seeking to quash the FIR in Crime No.164 of 2022 on the file of the 1st respondent police.

2.The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.165 of 2022 for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) IPC as against the petitioners.

3.The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent and the victims namely respondents 3 to 5 amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the respondents 2 to 5 and also by their respective counsels.

4.The petitioners 1, 3 to 6 and the respondents 2 to 5 appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. The 2nd petitioner namely Murugesan appeared through VC. They were also identified by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.342 of 2023

enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending and inclined to quash FIR in Crime No.165 of 2022 pending on the file of the 1st respondent police, in respect of the petitioners.

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the FIR in Crime No.165 of 2022 pending on the file of the 1st respondent, is hereby quashed. The compromise memo is recorded and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

09.06.2023

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No
er



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.342 of 2023

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Nagudi Police Station,
Pudukkottai District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.342 of 2023

G.ILANGOVAN. J.

er

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.342 of 2023

09.06.2023