



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.826 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **13.02.2023**

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.826 of 2023

1. Loganathan,
2. Annalakshmi,
3. Dhanabackiyam,
4. Santhoshkumar,

: Petitioners

Vs

1. The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Vaiyampatty Police Station,
Trichy District.
Crime No.242 of 2022

2. Vijayalakshmi,

: Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records pertaining to the case FIR in Crime No.242 of 2022 on the file of the 1st Respondent Police and quash the same.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.826 of 2023

For Petitioners : Mr.G.Pradeep
For R1 : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
For R2 : Mr.M.Pitchamuthu

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed, invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeking orders to call for the records pertaining to the First Information Report in Crime No.242 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to civil dispute, the accused persons assaulted the defacto complaint and her husband, abused them with filthy language and also threatened them with dire consequences. Hence, she lodged a complaint.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the second respondent has lodged a complaint before the first respondent and on that basis, FIR came to be registered in Crime No.242 of 2022 dated 18.07.2022 for the offences under Sections 294(b), 323, 324, 354 and 506(1) IPC against the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.826 of 2023

WEB COPY

4. The case is still under the investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.

5. A Joint Memo of Compromise has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioners and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioners and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mrs.R.Vembhu, Grade I Women Constable 383, Vaiyampatti Police Station, Trichy District as well as by the learned counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

6. In the instant case, it is a family dispute and now the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has power to quash the complaint for the offences under Sections 294(b), 323, 324, 354 and 506(1) IPC.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.826 of 2023

7. The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another*** reported in ***(2012)10 SCC 303*** and ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujraath*** reported in ***(2017)9 SCC 641*** were taken into consideration.

8. In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No.242 of 2022 pending before the first respondent police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.242 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order.

13.02.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
lr



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.826 of 2023

WEB COPY

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Vaiyampatty Police Station,
Trichy District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.826 of 2023

K.MURALI SHANKAR,J.

lr

Order made in
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.826 of 2023

Dated: 13.02.2023