



WEB COPY



W.P.No.29109 of 2018

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED :19.09.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SOUNTHAR

W.P No.29109 of 2018

J.Caroline

...Petitioner

Vs.

The Registrar,
Registration of Births & Deaths,
Puducherry Municipality,
Puducherry – 605 001.

...Respondent

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondent to consider the petitioner representation dated 22.02.2018 in accordance with enclosed documents within time limit as may be fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Suresh

For Respondents : Mr.M.Nirmal Kumar
Government Advocate (Puducherry)



W.P.No.29109 of 2018

ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner herein seeks a direction to the respondent to consider her representation dated 22.02.2018.

2.It is the case of the petitioner that she was born to one Joseph and Kichennaveny on 27.08.1966. Her Birth Certificate was duly registered with the respondent on 31.08.1966. In the Birth Certificate issued to the petitioner, the name of the petitioner's father was not indicated. Therefore, the petitioner submitted a representation dated 22.12.2018 to the respondent, seeking inclusion of her father's name in the Birth Certificate by enclosing other documents in support of her claim and the same has not been considered till date.

3.Mr.M.Nirmal Kumar, learned Government Advocate (Puducherry) takes notice for the respondent submits that there is no erroneous entry in the Birth Certificate. Therefore, under Section 15 of the Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1969, the respondent has no power to include new details in the Birth Certificate. It is also submitted by the learned counsel that it is for the petitioner to approach the Civil Court for redressal of her grievance.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.29109 of 2018

S.SOUNTHAR, J.
nti/mac

W.P No.29109 of 2018

19.09.2023