



Crl.O.P.No.33723 of 2019

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28.02.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MS JUSTICE R.N.MANJULA

Crl.O.P.No.33723 of 2019 and
Crl.M.P.No.18608 of 2019

1. C.Azeena @ Hasina
2. A.Arfat Mohammed

... Petitioners

Vs.

1. The Inspector of Police,
Central Crime Branch,
EDF – II, Team – III,
Office of the Commissioner of Police,
Veppery, Chennai – 600 007.

2. Shahina Inayath

... Respondents

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records pertaining to FIR in Crime No.378 of 2018 dated 06.09.2018, on the file of the 1st respondent Police and quash the same as unjust, illegal, unlawful and unsustainable.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Vasanth

For 1st Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For 2nd Respondent : Mr.P.K.Balasubramaniam



Crl.O.P.No.33723 of 2019

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed to quash the FIR in Crime No.

378 of 2018 on the file of the 1st respondent.

2. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) on instruction, would submit that in Crime No.378 of 2018, charge sheet has already been filed and the same is taken on file in C.C.No.4136 of 2020 on the file of the Metropolitan Magistrate CCB/CBCID Court, Egmore. The Charge sheet has also been challenged by the petitioners before this Court in Crl.O.P.No.3454 of 2021 and the same was quashed vide order of this Court made in Crl.O.P.Nos.3454 and 6125 of 2021, dated 11.04.2022. The relevant portion of the said order is extracted hereunder for better appreciation:

“6.A Joint Memorandum of Compromise has been filed before this Court, which have been signed by the 1st petitioner and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The 1st petitioner and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by the respondent Police. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to



an amicable settlement between themselves. A Joint Memorandum of Compromise dated 11.04.2022 relevant paragraphs are extracted here under:

2. That the sale agreement between the 1st petitioner and the 2nd respondent could not be concluded owing to the pendency of partition suit in O.S. 6197 of 2013, on the file of VI Additional City Civil Court at Chennai. The pendency of the partition suit had strained the relationship between the petitioner and the 2nd respondent and resulted in Criminal Prosecution at the instance of 2nd respondent against the 1st petitioner and her sons, the witnesses to the Sale Agreement, vide Crime No.378 of 2018 on the file of the Central Crime Branch, Commissioner of Police, Veppery, Chennai. Wherein, this Hon'ble Court granted Anticipatory Bail to the petitioners vide orders in Crl.O.P.No.33829 of 2019 and accordingly, 1st petitioner had deposited a sum of Rs.10,00,000/- to the credit of the Crime No.378 of 2018.

3. The petitioners had filed these Crl.O.P.Nos.3454 of 2021 & 6125 of 2021 seeking to quash the charge sheet in C.C.No.4136 of 2020 in Crime No.378 of 2018. Subsequent thereon, the suit



in O.S.No.6197 of 2013 was disposed by VI Additional City Civil Court at Chennai on 17.09.2021 holding that the 1st petitioner is the absolute owner of the property which is the subject matter of the sale agreement dated 05.03.2015 between the 1st petitioner and 2nd respondent.

4.That pending these proceedings, the 1st petitioner, 2nd respondent and person named Mrs.Habeeba, the earlier agreement holder had settled the dispute amicably pursuant to the Joint Compromise dated 24.01.2022 which was recorded by this Hon'ble Court in Crl.O.P.No.20075 of 2017 and quashed FIR in Crime No.414 of 2011 by order dated 24.01.2022, wherein the compromise was made part of the order.

5.Subsequent to the compromise arrived between the parties, the 1st petitioner has also executed a registered sale deed in favour of the 2nd respondent and her son vide Sale Deed dated 03.02.2022 in Doc.No.50 of 2022 on the file of SRO Chennai North Joint -I.

6.It is respectfully submitted that since the 2nd respondent/defacto complainant had purchased the property from the 1st petitioner on the basis of Sale



Agreement, nothing survives for adjudication in the C.C.No.4136 of 2021 which arises out of contractual obligation under Sale Agreement. In view of the settlement arrived herein above, continuation of the proceedings in C.C.No.4136 of 2021 will of futile exercise and will not serve any purpose and as such the proceedings in C.C.No.4136 of 2021 may be quashed.

7.It is respectfully stated that since the 1st petitioner and the 2nd respondent had arrived at the compromise, the 1st petitioner may be permitted to withdraw the sum of Rs.10,00,000/- being the sum deposited by the 1st petitioner in Crime No.378 of 2018, vide deposit Receipt No.9664 dated 24.01.2020, pursuant to the orders of this Court in Crl.O.P.No.33829 of 2019 dated 20.12.2019.”

*7.Under such circumstances, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the First Information Report pending, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature. In the light of the guidelines given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **2017 9 SCC 641-(Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath)**, this Court in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C. quashes the proceedings in C.C.No.4136 of 2020.*



Crl.O.P.No.33723 of 2019

8. Accordingly, these Criminal Original Petitions are allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in C.C.No.4136 of 2020, on the file of the Metropolitan Magistrate CCB/CBCID Court, Egmore, is quashed and the terms of joint memorandum of compromise dated 11.04.2022, shall form part and parcel of this order. The 1st petitioner in Crl.O.P.No.3454 of 2021 is directed to file an application for return of cash of Rs.10,00,000/- credited to the Crime No.378 of 2018 and the learned Trial Judge return the same within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of filing of the petition.”

3. In view of the above, nothing survives for further adjudication in the petition. Hence, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed as infructuous. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

28.02.2023

vum
Index:yes/No
Speaking order / Non speaking order



Crl.O.P.No.33723 of 2019

To

WEB COPY

1. The Inspector of Police,
Central Crime Branch,
II- Police Station,
Chennai – 600 007.

2. The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court,
Chennai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.33723 of 2019

R.N.MANJULA,J.

vum

Crl.O.P.No.33723 of 2019 and
Crl.M.P.No.18608 of 2019

28.02.2023