



WEB COPY



Rev.Aplw No.4 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.04.2023

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M. DHANDAPANI

Rev.Aplw No.4 of 2023
and
W.M.P.Nos.1668 and 1666 of 2023
in
W.P.No.16219 of 2017

B.Yousuf Ahmed

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Additional Chief Secretary and
Commissioner of Land Administration
Ezhilagam, Chepauk,
Chennai – 600 005.
2. The Assistant Settlement Officer (North),
Office of the Commissioner of Survey & Settlement
Ezhilagam, Chepauk,
Chennai – 600 005.
3. The District Revenue Officer,
Thiruvallur Collectorate Office,
Thiruvallur District – 602 001.
4. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Office of the Revenue Divisional Officer,
Ponneri Division,
Ponneri – 601 204.
5. The Tahsildar, Office of the Tahsildar,
Gummudipoondi Taluk,
Gummudipoondi – 601 201.

... Respondents



Rev.Aplw No.4 of 2023

Prayer: Review Application filed under Order 47 Rule 1 and 2 of C.P.C. r/w Section 114 of C.P.C. to review the order passed in W.P.No.16219 of 2017 dated 14.10.2022 passed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Gopinath for MC Gan
Law Firm

For Respondents : Mr.M.Alagu Goutham
Government Advocate

ORDER

The petitioner has filed this Review Application to review the order dated 14.10.2022 passed by this Court in W.P.No.16219 of 2017.

2. Learned counsel for the review petitioner submitted that the petitioner had filed a Writ petition in W.P.No.16219 of 2017, seeking to quash the order passed by the 1st respondent in K1/7587/2016 dated 12.06.2017 and for a consequential direction to issue patta in his name and other legal heirs of Late Basheer Ahmed with respect to the land in Survey No.239, measuring to an extent of 51.27 acres in Thevoi Kandigi Village, Gummidipoondi Taluk, Tiruvallur District in pursuance of the order dated 08.02.1969 passed in S.R.890/GPD/Sub-Taluk/L.H/68 of the Settlement Tahsildar – V, Chengalpattu and this Court, vide order dated 14.10.2022 dismissed the said Writ petition confirming the order passed by the first respondent dated 12.06.2017. Hence, the petitioner has come up with this Review application.



Rev.Aplw No.4 of 2023

WEB COPY

3. On the above said contentions, heard learned Government Advocate for the respondents and perused the materials placed before this Court.

4. Review applications can be entertained only on the ground of error apparent on the face of records or clerical error. In the present case, learned counsel for the petitioner raised issue on the factual aspect which cannot be entertained by way of the present Review application and a new ground which has not been canvassed cannot be the basis for filing the review application. Hence, this Court is of the view that, the prayer sought for by the petitioner cannot be acceded to and is liable to be dismissed.

5. The review application is accordingly dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

25.04.2023

Index : Yes / No
Speaking order / Nonspeaking order
Netrual Citation Case : Yes / No
(rap)



WEB COPY



Rev.Aplw No.4 of 2023

M.DHANDAPANI, J.

rap

To

1. The Additional Chief Secretary and
Commissioner of Land Administration
Ezhilagam, Chepauk,
Chennai – 600 005.
2. The Assistant Settlement Officer (North),
Office of the Commissioner of Survey & Settlement
Ezhilagam, Chepauk,
Chennai – 600 005.
3. The District Revenue Officer,
Thiruvallur Collectorate Office,
Thiruvallur District – 602 001.
4. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Office of the Revenue Divisional Officer,
Ponneri Division,
Ponneri – 601 204.
5. The Tahsildar, Office of the Tahsildar,
Gummudipoondi Taluk,
Gummudipoondi – 601 201.

Rev.Aplw No.4 of 2023

25.04.2023