



Crl.O.P.No.32140 of 2022

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 19.01.2023

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE T.V. THAMILSELVI

Crl.O.P.No.32140 of 2022

Vasanth @ Vasanthakumar,
S/o.Ravichandran

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
h-6, R.K. Nagar Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No.751 of 2022)
Respondent

...

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 439 of Criminal Procedure Code to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.751 of 2022 pending on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Illiyas

For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar,
Govt. Advocate (Crl.Side.)



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.32140 of 2022

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.11.2022 for the alleged offence under Sections 399 and 402 of I.P.C. in Crime No.751 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of prosecution is that on 28.11.2022, when the respondent police on routine checkup, the petitioner along with 9 other accused were present in SOC area and they are preparing to commit dacoity in the scene of occurrence with knife. Hence, the complaint was registered against the petitioner.

3. The learned counsel appearing for petitioner submitted that the entire allegation is false, vindictive, wanton and he is no way connected with the offence. He would submit that he has not at all committed any of offence as alleged by the respondent police and due to previous enmity, he has been falsely implicated in this case and he will abide by any condition imposed by this court. He would further submit that the



Crl.O.P.No.32140 of 2022

WEB COPY

Investigation is almost completed and that the petitioner has been suffering incarceration for more than 51 days from 28.11.2022. Hence, he prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for respondent would submit that totally, there are 10 accused involved in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A9. He would submit that there are 10 previous cases similar in nature pending against him. He would submit that that if he is released on bail, he would tamper the witnesses and hamper the investigation and the investigation is almost completed. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances, and also considering the fact that the investigation almost completed and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:



Crl.O.P.No.32140 of 2022

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned XV Metropolitan Magistrate, George Town, Chennai**, and on further conditions that:

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall stay at Tiruvannamalai and report before the Inspector of Police, Vettavalam Police Station, daily at 10.30 a.m. for the period of three months and thereafter, he shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for another period of two months;

(c) the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



CrI.O.P.No.32140 of 2022

WEB COPY

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala*** [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

(g) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

19.01.2023

rpp

To

1. The XV Metropolitan Magistrate,
George Town, Chennai.
2. Inspector of Police,
H-6, R.K. Nagar Police Station,
Chennai.
3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Puzhal.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.32140 of 2022

T.V. THAMILSELVI, J.

rpp

Crl.O.P.No. 32140 of 2022

19.01.2023