



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.2652 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.04.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **M.SUNDAR**
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

H.C.P.No.2652 of 2022

Moorthy

.. Petitioner

Vs

- 1.State of Tamil Nadu rep. By the Secretary,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.The District Magistrate and District Collector,
O/o.The District Magistrate and District Collector,
Erode District, Erode.
- 3.The Superintendent of Police,
Erode District.
- 4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Coimbatore,
Coimbatore District.
- 5.The Inspector of Police,
Bhavanisagar Police Station,
Erode District.

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India
praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus to call for the records

Page Nos.1/8



H.C.P.No.2652 of 2022

relating to the detention order vide Memo Cr.M.P.No.33/Goonda/2022 C1 dated 26.09.2022 passed by the second respondent and quash the same and direct the respondents herein to produce the petitioner's son namely Raman @ Ramkumar, S/o.Moorthy, aged 25 years (who is presently undergoing detention in the Central Prison, Coimbatore) before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.N.Arunkumar
For Respondents : Mr.R.Muniyapparaj
Additional Public Prosecutor
assisted by Mr.M.Sylvester John

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by **M.SUNDAR, J.,**]

Captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' ['HCP' for the sake of brevity] has been filed by father of the detenu assailing a 'preventive detention order dated 26.09.2022 bearing reference Cr.M.P.No.33/Goonda/2022 C1' [hereinafter 'impugned detention order' for the sake of convenience and brevity]. To be noted, fifth respondent is the sponsoring authority and second respondent is the detaining authority as impugned detention order has been made by second respondent.

Page Nos.2/8



H.C.P.No.2652 of 2022

WEB COPY

2. Impugned detention order has been made under 'The Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity] on the premise that the detenu is a 'Goonda' within the meaning of Section 2(f) of Act 14 of 1982.

3. There are four adverse cases. The ground case which is the sole substratum of the impugned detention order is Crime No.218 of 2022 on the file of Bhavanisagar Police Station for alleged offences under Sections 294(b), 392, 427 and 506(ii) of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity] subsequently altered into one under Sections 294(b), 397, 427 and 506(ii) IPC. Owing to the nature of the challenge to the impugned detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix or be detained further by facts.



H.C.P.No.2652 of 2022

WEB COPY

4. Mr.S.N.Arunkumar, learned counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor assisted by Mr.M.Sylvester John, learned counsel, for all respondents are before us.

5. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that 'live and proximate link' between the grounds of detention and purpose of detention has snapped as date of remand in the ground case is 13.08.2022 but the impugned detention order has been made only on 26.09.2022.

6. Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits to the contrary by saying that materials had to be collected and time was consumed for the same. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned State Additional Public Prosecutor is unacceptable.

7. We remind ourselves of ***Sushanta Kumar Banik's*** case

Page Nos.4/8



H.C.P.No.2652 of 2022

[**Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333**]. To be

noted, **Banik** case law arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering the proposal by the Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in **Banik** case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.

8. To be noted, **Banik** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in **Gomathi Vs.The Principal Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/334, Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/733, Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the**



H.C.P.No.2652 of 2022

Government and others reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1110, N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1159** and a series of other orders in HCP cases.

9. Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

10. Apropos, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned detention order dated 26.09.2022 bearing reference Cr.M.P.No.33/Goonda/2022 C1 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru.Raman @ Ramkumar, aged 25 years, son of Thiru.Moorthy, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.) (M.N.K.,J.)
25.04.2023

Index : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
mmi

Page Nos.6/8



H.C.P.No.2652 of 2022

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Coimbatore.

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.The District Magistrate and District Collector,
O/o.The District Magistrate and District Collector,
Erode District, Erode.
- 3.The Superintendent of Police,
Erode District.
- 4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Coimbatore,
Coimbatore District.
- 5.The Inspector of Police,
Bhavanisagar Police Station,
Erode District.
- 6.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.2652 of 2022

**M.SUNDAR, J.,
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.,**

mmi

H.C.P.No.2652 of 2022

25.04.2023

Page Nos.8/8