



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.26196 of 2023

M. NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

rsi

3. According to the learned counsel for petitioner, this finding is perverse. Referring to Ex.P3 submitted that issuance of cheque was not disputed by the respondents. Further, referring to the judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *Anil Sachar and another vs. Shreenath Spinners Private Limited and others* reported in *(2011) 13 SCC 148*, wherein the Hon'ble Apex Court in Paragraph 19, 20 and 21 held that in discharge of debt or liability incurred by one company, cheques can be issued by a sister concern.

4. Finding reason and force in the petitioner's submission, this Court is inclined to grant leave. Accordingly, leave is granted.

20.11.2023

Note: Registry is directed to number the Criminal Appeal, if it is otherwise in order.
rsi

Crl.O.P.No.26196 of 2023

in

Crl.A.SR.No.55729 of 2023