



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.2645 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27.06.2023

Coram

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **M.SUNDAR**
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **R.SAKTHIVEL**

H.C.P.No.2645 of 2022

Sathiya

.. Petitioner

vs

1.The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 9.

2.District Collector and District Magistrate,
Tirupathur District, Tirupathur.

3.The Superintendent of Police,
Tirupathur District, Tirupathur.

4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Special Prison for Women, Vellore – 2.

5.The Inspector of Police,
Natrampalli Police Station,
Thirupathur District.

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India
praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus to call for the
records in connection with the order of detention passed by the
second respondent dated 12.12.2022 in C3/D.O.No.61/2022 against
the petitioner's mother Amsa, female, aged 36 years, W/o.Prakash,
who is confined at Special Prison for Women, Vellore and set aside



H.C.P.No.2645 of 2022

the same and direct the respondents to produce the detainee before this Court and set her at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Balaji

For Respondents : Mr.E.Raj Thilak,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR, J.]

Captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' ['HCP' for the sake of brevity] has been filed by daughter of the detainee assailing a 'preventive detention order dated 12.12.2022 bearing reference C3/D.O.No.61/2022' [hereinafter 'impugned preventive detention order' for the sake of convenience and brevity]. To be noted, fifth respondent is the sponsoring authority and second respondent is the detaining authority as impugned preventive detention order has been made by second respondent.

2. Impugned preventive detention order has been made under 'The Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and



H.C.P.No.2645 of 2022

clarity] on the premise that the detinue is a 'Bootlegger' within the meaning of Section 2(b) of Act 14 of 1982.

3. There are two adverse cases. The ground case which constitutes substantial part of substratum of the impugned preventive detention order is Crime No.360 of 2022 on the file of Natrampalli Police Station for alleged offences under Sections 4(1)(i), 4(1)(aa), 4(1-A) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act r/w 328 of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity]. Owing to the nature of the challenge to the impugned preventive detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix or be detained further by facts.

4. Mr.D.Balaji, learned counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.E.Raj Thilak, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor for all respondents are before us.

5. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that 'live and proximate link' between the grounds of detention and purpose of detention has snapped as date of remand in the ground case is 10.11.2022 but the impugned preventive detention order has been made only on 12.12.2022.



H.C.P.No.2645 of 2022

6. Mr.E.Raj Thilak, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits to the contrary by saying that materials had to be collected and time was consumed for the same. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned State Additional Public Prosecutor is unacceptable.

7. We remind ourselves of **Sushanta Kumar Banik's** case [**Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333**]. To be noted, **Banik** case law arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering the proposal by the Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in **Banik** case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.2645 of 2022

8. To be noted, **Banik** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in **Gomathi Vs.The Principal Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/334, Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/733, Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1110, N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1159** and a series of other orders in HCP cases.

9. Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

10. Ergo, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned preventive detention order dated 12.12.2022 bearing reference C3/D.O.No.61/2022 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detainee Tmt.Amsa, aged 55 years, wife of Thiru.(late)



H.C.P.No.2645 of 2022

Prakash, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.) (R.S.V.,J.)
27.06.2023

Index : Yes
Neutral Citation : Yes
mmi

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Special Prison for Women, Vellore.

To

- 1.The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 9.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Tirupathur District, Tirupathur.
- 3.The Superintendent of Police,
Tirupathur District, Tirupathur.
- 4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Special Prison for Women, Vellore – 2.
- 5.The Inspector of Police,
Natrampalli Police Station,
Thirupathur District.
- 6.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.2645 of 2022

**M.SUNDAR, J.,
and
R.SAKTHIVEL, J.,**

mmi

H.C.P.No.2645 of 2022

27.06.2023