



Rev.Aplw.No.268 of 2022

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 10.01.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SURESH KUMAR

Rev.Aplw.No.268 of 2022 in
W.P.No.32876 of 2022

K.Girija

..

Petitioner

VS

1. The Inspector General of Registration
100, Santhome High Road
Pattinapakkam, Chennai 600 028.

2. The Sub Registrar
Integrated Building for Tambaram and Selaiyur Office
Maruthi Nagar, Main Road
Achugam Ammayar Park Road opposite
Rajakeelpakkam, Chennai 600 073.

3. The Sub Registrar
The Sub Registrar Office, Kodambakkam
63/190, KPK Towers, 100 feet road
Jawaharlal Nehru Road
Arumbakkam, Chennai 600 106.

4. D.Jayabalachandran

..

Respondents

Prayer: Review Application filed under Order 47 Rule 1 of CPC to review the order W.P.No.32876 of 2022 dated 07.12.2022 on the file of this Hon'ble Court by allowing this review application.

Page 1 of 5



Rev.Aplw.No.268 of 2022

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.Harihara Arun Soma Sankar
For Respondent : Mrs.Akila Rajendran
Government Advocate
for respondents 1 to 3

JUDGMENT

This review application has been filed to review the order dated 07.12.2022 made in W.P.No.32876 of 2022.

2. Heard Mr.Harihara Arun Soma Sankar, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, who would submit that, in paragraph 4 of the order dated 07.12.2022, it has been recorded that as against the orders passed by the Family Court, no further appeal was filed by either of the parties. However, according to the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, it has been wrongly mentioned that there was no appeal filed by the petitioner, but, an appeal was filed by the respondent in A.S.No.653 of 2019 and the same is still pending. Only to clarify this factual position in paragraph 4 of the order, the present application has been filed.



Rev.Aplw.No.268 of 2022

WEB COPY

3. Heard Mrs.Akila Rajendran, learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents.

4. In view of the said factual matrix that has been brought to the notice of this Court today in the review application by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, this Court is inclined to pass the following orders:

(i) That the existing paragraph 4 shall be replaced with the following paragraph:

(4) It is the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that, as against those orders, no appeal was filed by the petitioner. However, the fourth respondent has filed an appeal in A.S.No.653 of 2019, which is pending, where no interim orders have been granted.

5. Except the replacement of paragraph 4 in the order dated 07.12.2022, no further review is required in the said order, hence, Registry is directed to issue this order to the parties.



Rev.Aplw.No.268 of 2022

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, this review petition is disposed of. There will be no order as to costs.

10.01.2023

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-Speaking order
drm

To

1. The Inspector General of Registration
100, Santhome High Road
Pattinapakkam, Chennai 600 028.
2. The Sub Registrar
Integrated Building for Tambaram and Selaiyur Office
Maruthi Nagar, Main Road
Achugam Ammayar Park Road opposite
Rajakeelpakkam, Chennai 600 073.
3. The Sub Registrar
The Sub Registrar Office, Kodambakkam
63/190, KPK Towers, 100 feet road
Jawaharlal Nehru Road
Arumbakkam, Chennai 600 106.



WEB COPY



Rev.Aplw.No.268 of 2022

R.SURESH KUMAR, J.
drm

Rev.Aplw.No.268 of 2022
in
W.P.No.32876 of 2022

10.01.2023