



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.31 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 26.04.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR
and

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

H.C.P.No.31 of 2023

P. Sriram

.. Petitioner /
detenu

Vs.

1. The Principal Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Government of Tamil Nadu
Secretariat
Fort St.George
Chennai – 600 009
2. The Commissioner of Police/Detaining Authority
City Police Office
Behind Amman Kalayana Mandapam
Athimara Thottam
Sirupooluvapatti
Tirrupur City
Tirrupur – 641 603
3. The Superintendent of Prison
Coimbatore Central Prison
Coimbatore

Page Nos.1/8



H.C.P.No.31 of 2023

4. The Inspector of Police
Nallur Police Station, Tiruppur District ... Respondents

WEB COPY

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus calling for the entire records connected with the detention order of the Respondent No.2 in C.No.65/G/IS/Tiruppur City/2022 dated 26.08.2022 and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu by name P.Sriram, son of Pandi, aged about 24 years, now detained in Central Prison, Coimbatore before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Dinesh

For Respondents : Mr.R.Muniyapparaj
Additional Public Prosecutor
Assisted by
Mr.Sylvester John
Advocate

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR, J.,]

Captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' ['HCP' for the sake of brevity] has been filed by the detenu assailing a 'preventive detention order dated 26.08.2022 bearing reference C.No.65/G/IS/Tiruppur City/2022' [hereinafter 'impugned detention order' for the sake of convenience and brevity]. To be noted, fourth respondent is the sponsoring authority and second respondent is the detaining authority as impugned detention order has been made by second respondent.

Page Nos.2/8



H.C.P.No.31 of 2023

2. Impugned detention order has been made under 'The Tamil Nadu

Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity] on the premise that the detenu is a 'Goonda' within the meaning of Section 2(f) of Act 14 of 1982.

3. There is one adverse case. The ground case which is the sole substratum of the impugned detention order is Crime No.444 of 2022 on the file of Nallur Police Station for alleged offences under Sections 392 and 394 of 'Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Act 45 of 1860)' ['IPC' for brevity]. Owing to the nature of the challenge to the impugned detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix or be detained further by facts.

4. Mr.M.Dinesh, learned counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, assisted by Mr.Sylvester John, Advocate, for all respondents are before us.



H.C.P.No.31 of 2023

WEB COPY

5. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that 'live and proximate link' between the grounds of detention and purpose of detention has snapped as petitioner was arrested on 16.07.2022 but the impugned detention order has been made only on 26.08.2022.

6. Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits to the contrary by saying that materials had to be collected /collated and time was consumed in this exercise. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned Prosecutor is unacceptable.

7. We remind ourselves of ***Sushanta Kumar Banik's*** case [***Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333***]. To be noted, ***Banik*** case law arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering the proposal by the Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme



H.C.P.No.31 of 2023

Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in **Banik** case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.

8. To be noted, **Banik** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in **Gomathi Vs. The Principal Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/334, Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/733, Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1110, N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1159** and a series of other orders in HCP cases.



H.C.P.No.31 of 2023

9. Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

10. Apropos, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned detention order dated 26.08.2022 bearing reference C.No.65/G/IS/Tiruppur City/2022 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru.Sriram, aged 24 years, son of Thiru.Pandi is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.)

(M.N.K.,J.)

26.04.2023

Index : Yes

Speaking

Neutral Citation : Yes

gpa

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Coimbatore



H.C.P.No.31 of 2023

To

WEB COPY

1. The Principal Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Government of Tamil Nadu
Secretariat
Fort St.George
Chennai – 600 009
2. The Commissioner of Police/Detaining Authority
City Police Office
Behind Amman Kalayana Mandapam
Athimara Thottam
Sirupooluvapatti
Tirrupur City
Tirrupur – 641 603
3. The Superintendent of Prison
Coimbatore Central Prison
Coimbatore
4. The Inspector of Police
Nallur Police Station, Tirupur District
5. The Public Prosecutor
Madras High Court, Chennai



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.31 of 2023

M.SUNDAR, J.,
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.,

gpa

H.C.P.No.31 of 2023

26.04.2023

Page Nos.8/8