



CRL.O.P.No.30545 of 2022

CRL.O.P.No.30545 of 2022

WEB CO **T.V.THAMILSELVI,J.**

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 120B, 406, 420 of IPC and 76(1) of the Chit Funds Act, 1982 of IPC in Cr.No.2 of 2021, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that totally two accused in this case in which, the petitioner is arrayed as A2. A1 is the husband of the petitioner/A2. The petitioner herein along with other accused/A1 conducted Chit and collected to the tune of Rs.50,950/- from the defacto complainant and when it was asked by the defacto complainant for the refund of original chit prize of Rs.1,00,000/-, the petitioner/A2 and A1 have not returned the chit amount. Hence, the Law Enforcing Agency registered a case against the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and she has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence prays for grant of anticipatory bail.



CRL.O.P.No.30545 of 2022

T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

dk

WEB COPY

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that the petitioner and A1 had received the money from the defacto complainant and others. He would further submit that Investigation Agency is still receiving complaints against the petitioner. He further submitted that if anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, then there is possibility of tampering of witnesses. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking note of the objection raised by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) and considering the fact that the investigation agency is still receiving complaints against the petitioner from the victims. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6.Accordingly, the petition seeking anticipatory bail is dismissed.

12.01.2023

dk

CRL.O.P.No.30545 of 2022