



WEB COPY



C.M.A.No.2889 of 2022

THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02.01.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SUBRAMANIAN

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP

C.M.A.No.2889 of 2022

and

C.M.P.No.22364 of 2022

The New India Assurance Co. Ltd.,
No.8, LIC Building,
NSC Bose Road, Parrys,
Chennai-1.

...Appellant

Vs.

1.R.Baskar
2.Minor Venshika
3.Minor Darshika
4.M.Arumugam

...Respondents

Prayer: Civil Miscellaneous Appeal filed under Section 173 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, against the judgment and decree dated 18th November, 2021 made in M.C.O.P.No.7847 of 2018 on the file of the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, II-Court of Small Causes, Chennai.

For Appellant : Mr.S.Dhakshinamoorthy

For Respondents : Mr.K.Varadhakamaraj



WEB COPY



C.M.A.No.2889 of 2022

J U D G M E N T

(Judgment of the Court was made by R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.)

The appeal is by the Insurance Company, aggrieved by the award of the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Chennai dated 18.11.2021 made in M.C.O.P.No.7847 of 2018.

2.Mr.S.Dhakshinamoorthy, learned counsel for the Insurance Company would primarily contend that the Tribunal having rendered a finding that the driver of the offending vehicle did not have a valid driving license, ought not to have made the Insurance Company liable to pay the compensation with liberty to recover the same from the Insurer. According to the learned counsel, as per the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Beli Ram Vs. Rajinder Kumar & Another**, the Insurance Company should not have been made liable to pay with liberty to recover. We are unable to sustain the contention of the learned counsel for the Insurance Company.



C.M.A.No.2889 of 2022

WEB COPY

3.The judgment in Beliram's case arose out of a proceeding under the Workmen's Compensation Act (Employees' Compensation Act). The decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court was based on consideration of the provisions of the said enactment. The same cannot be applied to the proceedings under the Motor Vehicles Act, since Section 149(4) of the Motor Vehicles Act which reads as follows:- ***“(4) Where a certificate of Insurance has been issued under sub-section (3) of Section 147 to the person by whom a policy has been effected, so much of the policy as purports to restrict the insurance of the persons insured thereby by reference to any conditions other than those in clause (b) of sub-section (2) shall, as respects such liability as are required to be covered by a policy under clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 147, be of no effect.”*** makes the insurer liable even in cases where there is a violation of policy conditions. However, proviso 2 of Sub-section 4 gives a right to the Insurance Company to pay the compensation and recover the same from the Insurer.



C.M.A.No.2889 of 2022

WEB COPY

4.In view of Section 149, we do not think, we could apply the principle laid down in Beliram to the case on hand. No other contention has been urged by the Insurance Company. Hence, this Civil Miscellaneous Appeal fails and it is accordingly, dismissed. No costs.

(R.S.M.,J.) (S.S.K.,J.)
02.01.2023

kkn

Internet:Yes
Index:No
Speaking



WEB COPY



C.M.A.No.2889 of 2022

R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.
and
SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

KKN

To:-

The Motor Accident Claims Tribunal,
II-Court of Small Causes,
Chennai.

C.M.A.No.2889 of 2022
and
C.M.P.No.22364 of 2022

02.01.2023