



H.C.P.No.2094 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08.11.2023

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.S.SUNDAR  
AND  
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

H.C.P.No.2094 of 2023

K.Indira  
W/o late Krishnan .. Petitioner  
  
v.

1. State of Tamil Nadu  
rep. by the Additional Chief Secretary  
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department  
Secretariat, Chennai  
Tamil Nadu - 600 009
2. State of Tamil Nadu  
rep. by the Commissioner of Police  
Greater Chennai Police  
Chennai, Tamil Nadu
3. State of Tamil Nadu  
rep. by the Superintendent of Prisons  
Central Prison, Puzhal  
Chennai, Tamil Nadu
4. State of Tamil Nadu  
rep.by the Inspector of Police



H.C.P.No.2094 of 2023

P-6, Kodungaiyur Police Station  
Chennai, Tamil Nadu

..

Respondents

WEB COPY

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a Writ of Habeas Corpus, to call for the records of pertaining to the order of detention dated 30.03.2023 passed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent in TPDA : 4250 in Memo No.93/BCDFGISSSV/2023 and quash the same and produce the detenu (Nagaraj @ Thavakkalai, Son of Krishnan male aged about 27 years) who is detained in Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai before this Hon'ble Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner :: Mr.P.Senthil Kumar

For Respondents :: Mr.E.Raj Thilak  
Additional Public Prosecutor  
assisted by Mr.C.Aravind, Advocate

### ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by S.S.SUNDAR,J.)

The petitioner, who is the mother of the detenu, namely, Nagaraj @ Thavakkalai, aged 27 years, S/o Krishnan, has come forward with this petition challenging the detention order passed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent dated 30.03.2023 slapped on her son, branding him as 'Goonda' under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber Law



H.C.P.No.2094 of 2023

WEB COURT

Offenders, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Sexual Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982).

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

3. Though several grounds are raised in the petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner confined his argument to the point that the detaining authority has relied upon the order passed in CrI.M.P.No.10485 of 2021 dated 26.05.2021 by the Court of Sessions at Chennai, which is not similar to the ground case alleged against the detenu. The learned counsel pointed out that bail was granted to the accused in similar case only after recording the Covid-19 pandemic situation. Therefore, the detention order is liable to be set aside on the sole ground that the subjective satisfaction of the detaining authority regarding the possibility of the detenu coming out on bail in the ground case, suffers from non-application of mind.



H.C.P.No.2094 of 2023

4. We find merits on the submissions of the learned counsel for petitioner. This Court has held in several cases that the detention order is vitiated if there is no application of mind as to the real ground on which the bail was granted to the accused in similar case. It is also relevant to point out that the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Rekha v. State of Tamil Nadu through Secretary to Government and another, (2011) 5 SCC 244***, has held that in case any of the reasons stated in the order of detention is non-existent or a material information is wrongly assumed, that will vitiate the detention order. When the subjective satisfaction was irrational or there was non-application of mind, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the order of detention is liable to be quashed. It is relevant to extract paragraph Nos.10 and 11 of the said judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as follows:-

*“10. In our opinion, if details are given by the respondent authority about the alleged bail orders in similar cases mentioning the date of the orders, the bail application number, whether the bail order was passed in respect of the co-accused in the same case, and whether the case of the co-accused was on the same*



H.C.P.No.2094 of 2023

*footing as the case of the petitioner, then, of course, it could be argued that there is likelihood of the accused being released on bail, because it is the normal practice of most courts that if a co-accused has been granted bail and his case is on the same footing as that of the petitioner, then the petitioner is ordinarily granted bail. However, the respondent authority should have given details about the alleged bail order in similar cases, which has not been done in the present case. A mere ipse dixit statement in the grounds of detention cannot sustain the detention order and has to be ignored.*

*11.In our opinion, the detention order in question only contains ipse dixit regarding the alleged imminent possibility of the accused coming out on bail and there was no reliable material to this effect. Hence, the detention order in question cannot be sustained."*

In view of the position of law enunciated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Rekha's case, this Court, concurring with the statement of the learned counsel for petitioner on facts that the impugned detention order is vitiated for non-application of mind, is inclined to allow the habeas corpus petition.



H.C.P.No.2094 of 2023

5. Accordingly, the detention order passed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent dated 30.03.2023 in No.93/BCDFGISSSV/2023 is hereby set aside and the habeas corpus petition is allowed. The detenu viz., Nagaraj @ Thavakkalai, S/o Krishnan, aged 27 years, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, unless he is required in connection with any other case.

Index : yes/no

(S.S.S.R.,J.)

(S.M.,J.)

Neutral citation : yes/no

08.11.2023

SS

To

1. The Addl. Chief Secretary to Government  
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department  
Fort St.George  
Chennai 600 009

2. The Commissioner of Police  
Greater Chennai Police  
Chennai 600 007

3. The Superintendent of Prison  
Central Prison, Puzhal  
Chennai

4. The Inspector of Police  
P-6, Kodungaiyur Police Station  
Chennai



5. The Public Prosecutor  
High Court, Madras

WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.2094 of 2023



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.2094 of 2023

S.S.SUNDAR,J.

AND

SUNDER MOHAN,J.

SS

H.C.P.No.2094 of 2023

08.11.2023