



H.C.P.No.2413 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 26.04.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR
and

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

H.C.P.No.2413 of 2022

Girija

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 600 009.

2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Mayiladuthurai District,
Mayiladuthurai.

3.The Superintendent of Police,
Mayiladuthurai District,
Mayiladuthurai.

4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Trichy - 20.

5.The Inspector of Police,
Mayiladuthurai Police Station,
Mayiladuthurai District.

.. Respondents

Page Nos.1/8



H.C.P.No.2413 of 2022

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus to call for the entire records from the 2nd respondent in connection with order in C.O.C.No.44/2022 dated 07.10.2022 and quash the same and produce the petitioner's son namely Ajith @ Ajithkumar, S/o.Loganathan, aged 26 years now confined in Central Prison, Trichy under the Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982 before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Pugalenth
For Respondents : Mr.R.Muniyapparaj
Additional Public Prosecutor
Assisted by Mr.M.Sylvester John

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR, J.,]

Captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' ['HCP' for the sake of brevity] has been filed by mother of detenu assailing a 'preventive detention order dated 07.10.2022 bearing reference C.O.C.No.44/2022' [hereinafter 'impugned detention order' for the sake of convenience and brevity]. To be noted, fifth respondent is the sponsoring authority and second respondent is the detaining authority as impugned detention order has been made by second respondent.

Page Nos.2/8



H.C.P.No.2413 of 2022

2.Impugned detention order has been made under 'The Tamil Nadu

Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity] on the premise that the detenu is a 'Goonda' within the meaning of Section 2(f) of Act 14 of 1982.

3.There is no adverse case. The ground case which is the sole substratum of the impugned detention order is Crime No.636/2022 on the file of Mayiladuthurai Police Station for alleged offences under Sections 147, 148, 341, 294(b), 342, 324, 307, 302, 506(ii), 120(b) of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity] and Section 25(1)(b) of Arms Act, 1959. Owing to the nature of the challenge to the impugned detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix or be detained further by facts.

4.Mr.P.Pugalenth, learned counsel on record for petitioner and



H.C.P.No.2413 of 2022

Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor assisted by

Mr.M.Sylvester John, learned counsel for all respondents are before us.

5.Learned counsel for petitioner submits that 'live and proximate link' between the grounds of detention and purpose of detention has snapped as date of arrest in the ground case is 18.08.2022 but the impugned detention order has been made only on 07.10.2022.

6.Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits to the contrary by saying that materials had to be collected and time was consumed in this exercise. Considering the facts / circumstances of the case on hand and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned Prosecutor is unacceptable.

7.We remind ourselves of *Sushanta Kumar Banik's* case [*Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333*]. To be noted, *Banik* case law arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic



H.C.P.No.2413 of 2022

Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering a proposal by a Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in **Banik** case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and the other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.

8.To be noted, **Banik** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in **Gomathi Vs.The Principal Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/334, Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/733, Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1110, N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others**



H.C.P.No.2413 of 2022

reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being

2023:MHC:1159 and a series of other orders in HCP cases.

9.Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

10.Apropos, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned detention order dated 07.10.2022 bearing reference C.O.C.No.44/2022 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru.Ajith @ Ajithkumar, male, aged 26 years, son of Thiru.Loganathan is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.)

(M.N.K.,J.)

26.04.2023

Index : Yes / No

Speaking / Non-speaking

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

cse

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Tiruchirappalli.



H.C.P.No.2413 of 2022

To

WEB COPY

1. The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 600 009.
2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Mayiladuthurai District,
Mayiladuthurai.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
Mayiladuthurai District,
Mayiladuthurai.
4. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Trichy - 20.
5. The Inspector of Police,
Mayiladuthurai Police Station,
Mayiladuthurai District.
6. The Public Prosecutor
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.2413 of 2022

M.SUNDAR, J.,
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.,

cse

H.C.P.No.2413 of 2022

26.04.2023

Page Nos.8/8