



*HCP.No.1998/2023*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 29.11.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR . JUSTICE S.S.SUNDAR

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

H.C.P.No.1998/2023

G.Balamurali

..

Petitioner

Versus

1.The Additional Chief Secretary  
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department of Tamil Nadu  
St George Fort, Chennai 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police  
O/o.The Commissioner,  
Salem, Salem District 636 006.

3.The State rep.by  
Inspector of Police  
sooramangalam Police Station  
Salem District.

4.The Superintendent  
Special Prison for Women  
Coimbatore.

..

Respondents



**HCP.No.1998/2023**

**Prayer:-** Habeas Corpus Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for a Writ of Habeas Corpus calling for the entire records connected with the Impugned Detention Order passed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent vide order in CMP.No.71/ITO/Salem City/2023 dated 27.07.2023 and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the body or person of the detenu by name Divya, wife of Balamurali, aged about 36 years now detained as "Immoral Traffic Offender" at Special Prison for Women, Coimbatore, before this Court and set her at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Jothikumar  
For Respondents : Mr.E.Raj Thilak  
Additional Public Prosecutor  
assisted by Mr.Aravind.C

**ORDER**

**[Order of the Court was made by S.S.SUNDAR, J.]**

- (1)The petitioner, husband of the detenu herein, has come forward with this petition challenging the detention order passed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent dated 27.07.2023 slapped on his wife, branding her as "Immoral Traffic Offender" under the Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982.
- (2)Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.



**HCP.No.1998/2023**

WEB COPY

(3) Though several grounds are raised in the petition, the learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the bail order in the similar case relied on by the Detaining Authority to arrive at the subjective satisfaction that the detenu is likely to be released on bail, was obtained during COVID-19 situation and that placing reliance on such order shows the non-application of mind on the part of the Detaining Authority.

(4) On a perusal of the Grounds of Detention, it is seen that the Detaining Authority had relied upon the order of bail in similar case in CMP.No.976/2020 passed by the learned Principal Sessions Judge, Salem. However, in the Booklet, in particular, page No.86, it is seen that the bail order in the similar case was obtained during COVID-19 situation and bail was granted to the accused therein with a specific reference to COVID-19. It is in the said circumstances, this Court finds that the subjective satisfaction arrived at by the Detaining Authority to hold that the detenu is likely to be released on bail, suffers from non-application of mind.

(5) The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the case of ***Rekha Vs. State of Tamil Nadu through Secretary to Government and Another*** reported in 2011



**HCP.No.1998/2023**

WEB COPY

[5] **SCC 244**, has considered a case where it is stated that in the grounds of detention that relatives of detenu are taking action to take him on bail in the criminal case in which the detenu was in remand and that in similar case, bail was granted by Courts. Since no details had been given about the alleged similar cases in which bail was allegedly granted by the Court concerned, it is held by Hon'ble Supreme Court that in the absence of details, the statement which is mere *ipse dixit*, cannot be relied upon and that itself is sufficient to vitiate the detention order. When the subjective satisfaction was irrational or there was non-application of mind, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the order of detention is liable to be quashed. It is relevant to extract paragraphs No.10 and 11 of the said judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court:-

*"10. In our opinion, if details are given by the respondent authority about the alleged bail orders in similar cases mentioning the date of the orders, the bail application number, whether the bail order was passed in respect of the co-accused in the same case, and whether the case of the co-accused was on the same footing as the case of the petitioner, then, of course, it could be argued that there is likelihood of the accused being released on bail, because it is the normal practice of most courts that if a co-accused has been granted bail and his case is on the same footing as that*



**HCP.No.1998/2023**

*of the petitioner, then the petitioner is ordinarily granted bail. However, the respondent authority should have given details about the alleged bail order in similar cases, which has not been done in the present case. A mere ipse dixit statement in the grounds of detention cannot sustain the detention order and has to be ignored.*

*11. In our opinion, the detention order in question only contains ipse dixit regarding the alleged imminent possibility of the accused coming out on bail and there was no reliable material to this effect. Hence, the detention order in question cannot be sustained."*

(6) In view of the aforesaid facts, the detention order passed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent dated 27.07.2023 in CMP.No.71/ITO/Salem City/2023 is hereby set aside and the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed. The detainee is directed to be set at liberty forthwith unless she is required in connection with any other case.

[SSSRJ] [SM J]  
29.11.2023

AP  
Internet: Yes



***HCP.No.1998/2023***

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Additional Chief Secretary  
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department of Tamil Nadu  
St George Fort, Chennai 600 009.
- 2.The Commissioner of Police  
O/o.The Commissioner,  
Salem, Salem District 636 006.
- 3.The State rep.by  
Inspector of Police  
Sooramangalam Police Station  
Salem District.
- 4.The Superintendent  
Special Prison for Women  
Coimbatore.
- 5.The Public Prosecutor  
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



*HCP.No.1998/2023*

S.S.SUNDAR, J.,  
AND  
SUNDER MOHAN, J.,

AP

H.C.P.No.1998/2023

29.11.2023