



CrI.O.P.No.23194 of 2023
and
CrI.M.P.No.14996 of 2023

WEB COPY

C.V.KARTHIKEYAN.,J.

The petitioner/accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 427, 506(ii) and 387 of I.P.C in Crime No.379 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant and his family had quarrelled with petitioner. On 28.08.2021 the petitioner's men set fire to the hut situated in petitioner's land and because of that the thatched hut of the de-facto complainant's land was burnt. The Cr.No.380 of 2021 was mistakenly shown as 379 of 2021 on 20.09.2021. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, the petition has been filed seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (CrI.Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that there are totally 5 accused and one of the accused had been arrested and released on bail on 03.11.2023. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



C.V.KARTHIKEYAN,J.,

nvi

WEB COPY

5.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (crl.side) for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6.Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side that the nature and gravity of the offence committed by the petitioner, police interrogation is essential. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed. Consequently, connected Crl.M.P is closed.

07.11.2023

nvi

Crl.O.P.No.23194 of 2023 and

Crl.M.P.No.14996 of 2023