



H.C.P.No.2271 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.03.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR
and

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

H.C.P.No.2271 of 2022

Anbu Rose
W/o.Manoj

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. by the Secretary,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Fort St.George, Chennai-600 009.
2. The District Magistrate and District Collector
O/o. The District Magistrate and District Collector
Erode District
Erode.
3. The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison
Coimbatore.
4. The Superintendent of Police
Erode District
Erode.

Page Nos.1/8



H.C.P.No.2271 of 2022

WEB COPY

5. The Inspector of Police
Chithode Police Station
Erode District.

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus to call for the records relating to the detention order vide Memo Cr.M.P.No.21/Goonda/2022/C1 dated 18.08.2022, passed by the second respondent and quash the same and direct the respondents herein to produce the petitioner's husband namely, Manoj @ Mugil, S/o.Late (Seenivasan) aged 26 years (who is presently under going detention in the Central Prison, Coimbatore) before this Hon'ble Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.S.N.Arun Kumar
For Respondents	:	Mr.R.Muniyapparaj Additional Public Prosecutor assisted by Mr.M.Sylvester John, Advocate

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR, J.,]

Captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' ['HCP' for the sake of brevity] has been filed by wife of the detenu assailing a 'preventive detention order dated 18.08.2022 bearing reference Cr.M.P.No.21/Goonda/2022 C1' [hereinafter 'impugned detention order' for the sake of convenience and brevity]. To be noted, fifth respondent is the sponsoring authority and second respondent is



H.C.P.No.2271 of 2022

the detaining authority as the impugned detention order has been made by second respondent.

2. Impugned detention order has been made under 'The Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity] on the premise that the detenu is a 'Goonda' within the meaning of Section 2(f) of Act 14 of 1982.

3. There are four adverse cases and one ground case. The ground case which is the sole substratum of the impugned detention order is Crime No.256 of 2022 on the file of Chithode Police Station for the alleged offences under Sections 392 and 506(ii) of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity]. Owing to the nature of the challenge to the impugned detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix or be detained further by facts.



H.C.P.No.2271 of 2022

4. Mr.S.N.Arun Kumar, learned counsel representing the counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, assisted by Mr.M.Sylvester John, learned counsel for all respondents are before us.

5. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that 'live and proximate link' between the grounds of detention and purpose of detention has snapped as date of arrest in the ground case is 01.06.2022 but the impugned detention order has been made only on 18.08.2022.

6. Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits to the contrary by saying that materials had to be collected and time was consumed in this exercise. Considering the facts / circumstances of the case on hand and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned Prosecutor is unacceptable.

7. We remind ourselves of ***Sushanta Kumar Banik's*** case [***Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw***



H.C.P.No.2271 of 2022

(SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333]. To be noted, **Banik** case law

arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering a proposal by a Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in **Banik** case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and the other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.

8. To be noted, **Banik** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in **Gomathi Vs.The Principal Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/334, Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/733, Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the Government and**



H.C.P.No.2271 of 2022

others reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being

2023:MHC:1110, N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others

reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being

2023:MHC:1159 and a series of other orders in HCP cases.

9. Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

10. Apropos, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned detention order dated 18.08.2022 bearing reference Cr.M.P.No.21/Goonda/2022 C1 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru.Manoj alias Mugil, aged 26 years, son of (Late) Seenivasan, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.)

(N.A.V.,J.)

23.03.2023

Index : Yes / No

Speaking / Non-speaking

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

mk

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Coimbatore.

Page Nos.6/8



H.C.P.No.2271 of 2022

WEB COPY To

1. State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. by the Secretary,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Fort St.George, Chennai-600 009.
2. The District Magistrate and District Collector
O/o. The District Magistrate and District Collector
Erode District
Erode.
3. The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison
Coimbatore.
4. The Superintendent of Police
Erode District
Erode.
5. The Inspector of Police
Chithode Police Station
Erode District.
6. The Public Prosecutor
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.2271 of 2022

M.SUNDAR, J.,
and
N.ANAND VENKATESH, J.,

mk

H.C.P.No.2271 of 2022

23.03.2023

Page Nos.8/8