



Tr.CMP No.1119 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23-01-2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

Tr.C.M.P.No.1119 of 2022

and

C.M.P.No.19043 of 2022

Mrs.R.Pavithra

.. Petitioner

vs.

Mr.J.Kotteswaran

.. Respondent

PRAYER : This Transfer CMP is filed under Section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code, to withdraw the case in HMOP No.101 of 2021 from the file of the Sub Court at Gudiyatham, Vellore District and transfer the same to the file of the Family Court at Thiruvallur.

For Petitioner : Ms.L.Akalya Nathan

For Respondent : Mr.R.R.Selvakumar for
Mr.M.Marudhachalam



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.1119 of 2022

ORDER

The present Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition has been filed to withdraw the HMOP No.101 of 2021 from the file of the Sub Court at Gudiyatham, Vellore District and transfer the same to the file of the Family Court at Thiruvallur.

2. The marriage between the petitioner and the respondent was solemnised on 03.06.2020 as per the Hindu Rites and Customs. Due to misunderstanding, the petitioner and the respondent are now living separately.

3. The respondent raised several allegations against the petitioner. The respondent filed HMOP No.101 of 2021 for dissolution of marriage now pending on the file of the Sub Court at Gudiyatham, Vellore District.



Tr.CMP No.1119 of 2022

WEB COPY

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner made a submission that the respondent filed a complaint under the Domestic Violence Act, which is now pending before the Judicial Magistrate at Thiruvallur.

5. It is contended that the petitioner was previously working in CMC, Vellore and now she is not employed at CMC, Vellore and she is staying along with her parents at Pattabiram.

6. The petitioner joined in Nursing Course in Meenakshi College of Nursing, Maduravoyal, Chennai-95 and pursuing her education by staying along with her parents at Pattabiram.

7. That being the case, she is not in a position to travel all along from Pattabiram to Gudiyatham and contest the divorce case filed by the respondent on the file of the Sub Court at Gudiyatham, Vellore District.



Tr.CMP No.1119 of 2022

WEB COPY

8. The learned counsel for the petitioner raised an objection by stating that the divorce petition was filed by the respondent on the ground of adultery and the respondent has enclosed several photographs and other details regarding the conversation of his wife.

9. However, this Court cannot adjudicate those documents and the issues raised between the parties in the present Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition. All those allegations are to be established before the Competent Court, while adjudicating the matrimonial proceedings.

10. As far as the transfer is concerned, the place of wife is preferable, more-so, the petitioner has now states that she is not employed as admitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner and pursuing her education at Meenakshi College of Nursing at Maduravoyal. Further, she is depending on her parents and residing along with her parents at Pattabiram.



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.1119 of 2022

11. The principles regarding transfer petitions, more specifically in the matters of matrimonial cases, are well settled through the three decisions of the High Court of Madras, in the following cases:-

(i) The Hon'ble Division Bench of the High Court of Madras in W.A.No.1181 of 2009, dated 09.07.2010, wherein in paragraphs-21 and 22, it has been observed as under:-

"21. The domicile or citizenship of the opposite party is immaterial in a case like this. In case the marriage was solemnized under Hindu Law marital relationship is governed by the provisions of the Hindu Marriage Act. Therefore, Section 19 has to be given a purposeful interpretation. It is the residence of the wife, which determines the question of jurisdiction, in case the proceeding was initiated at the instance of the wife.



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.1119 of 2022

22. While considering a provision like Section 19 (iii-a) of the Hindu Marriage Act, the objects and reasons which prompted the parliament to incorporate such a provision has also to be taken note of. Sub Clause (iii-a) was inserted in Section 19 with a specific purpose. Experience is the best teacher. The Government found the difficulties faced by women in the matter of initiation of matrimonial proceedings. The report submitted by the Law Commission as well as National Commission for Women, underlying the need for such amendment so as to enable the women to approach the nearest jurisdictional court to redress their matrimonial grievances, were also taken note of by the Government. Therefore such a beneficial provision meant for the women of our Country should be given a meaningful



interpretation by Courts."

(ii) In yet another case in Tr.CMP.Nos.138 and 139 of 2006, dated 30.08.2006, the High Court of Madras has considered the following judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India:-

"(1). In the case of **Mona Aresh Goel vs. Aresh Satya Goel [(2000) 9 SCC 255]**, when the wife pleaded that she was unable to bear the traveling expenses and even to travel alone and stay at Bombay, the Supreme Court ordered transfer of proceedings.

(2) In the case of **Geeta Heera vs. Harish Chander Heera [(2000) 10 SCC 304]**, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that where the petitioner's wife has pleaded lack of money, the same has to be considered.

(3) In the case of **Lalita A.Ranga vs. Ajay Champalal Ranga [(2000) 9 SCC 355]**, the wife



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.1119 of 2022

has filed a petition to transfer the proceedings initiated by the husband for divorce, at Bombay. The place of residence of the wife was at Jaipur, Rajasthan. In that case, the petitioner is having a small child and that she pleaded difficulty in going all the way from Jaipur to Bombay to contest the proceedings from time to time. Considering the distance and the difficulties faced by the wife, the Supreme Court has allowed the transfer petition.

(4) In a decision in **Archana Singh vs. Surendra Bahadur Singh [(2005) 12 SCC 395]**, the wife has sought for transfer of matrimonial proceedings and a divorce petition has been filed by the respondent's husband at Baikunthpur to be transferred to Allahabad, where the petitioner's wife was residing, on the ground that it would be difficult for her to undertake such long distance journey,



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.1119 of 2022

particularly in circumstances, in which she finds that the proceedings under Section 125 Cr.P.C. was already pending before the Family Court, Allahabad. Considering the difficulties faced by the wife and also the long distance journey, the Honourable Supreme Court was pleased to order transfer of the proceedings to Allahabad.”

(iii) In a decision made in TR.CMP(MD)No.108 of 2010, dated 03.03.2011, the Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, wherein in paragraph-18, it has been observed as below:-

"18. It is true that section 19 of the Hindu Marriage Act, has been amended by insertion of proviso of (iii)(a) to section 19. Of Course, this amended section 19(iii)(a) gives special preference to the wife to file a petition or defending the case of the husband before the Court within whose jurisdiction she resides. The intention of the Legislator is to safe-



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.1119 of 2022

guard the interest and rights of the women, who are being subjected to harassment and cruelty. But this special preference conferred under section 19(iii)(a) of the Hindu Marriage Act shall not be used to wreck vengeance on the husband. There must be a justifiable cause to select the jurisdiction of the Court where she resides."

12. In view of the facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to transfer HMOP No.101 of 2021 pending on the file of the Sub Court at Gudiyatham, Vellore District to the file of the Family Court at Thiruvallur forthwith. The Sub Court at Gudiyatham, Vellore District is directed to transmit the case papers to the Family Court at Thiruvallur, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

13. With the abovesaid directions, the Transfer Civil



Tr.CMP No.1119 of 2022

Miscellaneous Petition stands allowed. However, there shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

23-01-2023

Speaking Order/Non-Speaking Order.

Internet : Yes/No.

Index: Yes/No.

Svn

To

1.The Sub Judge,
Sub Court at Gudiyatham,
Vellore District.

2.The Judge,
Family Court,
Thiruvallur.

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.

11/12



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.1119 of 2022

Svn

Tr.CMP No.1119 of 2022

23-01-2023

12/12