

Crl.O.P.No.21935 of 2023**RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN, J.**

WEB COPY

The petitioner/A1, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 120(b), 406, 415, 420, 442, 506(II) of I.P.C and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Women Harassment Act, 2002, in Crime No.825 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant's husband was employed as a Clerk in Salem Fair land Co-operative Credit Limited and her husband absent from duty due to illness and therefore she made an application for compassionate appointment but the petitioner has demanded money for providing employment. So she handed over all original documents for arranging loan, further she is unable to arrange the loan and requested the petitioner to return back the original documents and blank paper but the petitioner refused and abused the de-facto complainant in filthy language. Hence the case.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent would submit that the de-facto complainant's husband absented from duty for more than five years and therefore he was terminated. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the FIR.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the termination order copy of the husband of the de-facto complainant is produced. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Metropolitan Magistrate No.V, Salem**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the



petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

27.09.2023

nvi



Crl.O.P.No.21935 of 2023



RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN, J.

nvi

Crl.O.P.No.21935 of 2023

27.09.2023