



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1846 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 22.12.2023

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.S. SUNDAR
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

H.C.P.No.1846 of 2023

Ellammal

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by its Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Department of Prohibition and Excise,
Fort St. George,
Chennai – 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai,
Office of the Commissioner of Police,
Vepery, Chennai.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Puzhal, Chennai.

4.The Inspector of Police,
E-1 Mylapore Police Station,
Mylapore, Chennai.

... Respondents

Page 1 of 8



H.C.P.No.1846 of 2023

Prayer : Habeas Corpus Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the records in No.373/BCDFGISSSV/2023 dated 23.08.2023 on the file of the 2nd respondent herein and set aside the same as illegal and produce the detenu Nareshkumar, S/o Kathiravan, aged 23 years, now confined at Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai before this Honble Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.Ilayaraja Kandasamy

For Respondents : Mr.E.Raj Thilak
Additional Public Prosecutor
assisted by
Mr.C.Aravind

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **S.S. SUNDAR, J.**)

The petitioner, mother of the detenu namely Nareshkumar, S/o.Kathiravan, aged 23 years, has come forward with this petition challenging the detention order passed by the 2nd respondent, dated 23.08.2023 slapped on her son, branding him as "Goonda" under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Drug Offenders,

Page 2 of 8



H.C.P.No.1846 of 2023

Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders,
Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 [Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982].

2.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned
Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

3.Though several grounds are raised in the petition, the learned
counsel for the petitioner pointed out that the bail order relied upon by the
Detaining Authority is not similar to the case on hand, by referring to the
fact that bail was granted to the accused therein mainly on the ground that
the co-accused was granted bail. Therefore, the learned counsel submitted
that the Detaining Authority has not applied his mind while expressing his
subjective satisfaction that the detenu is also likely to be released on bail.

4.On a perusal of the Booklet, this Court finds that, in the similar case
relied upon by the Detaining Authority, i.e., Crl.M.P.Nos.18385 & 18431 of
2021, dated 21.10.2021, the accused therein was granted bail mainly on the
ground that the co-accused had been granted bail. Hence, this Court is of



H.C.P.No.1846 of 2023

the view that the subjective satisfaction of the Detaining Authority that the detenu is also likely to be released on bail, by relying upon the aforesaid similar case, suffers from non-application of mind.

5.The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the case of ***Rekha Vs. State of Tamil Nadu through Secretary to Government and Another*** reported in ***2011 [5] SCC 244***, has dealt with a situation where the Detention Order is passed without an application of mind. In case, any of the reasons stated in the order of detention is non-existent or a material information is wrongly assumed, that will vitiate the Detention Order. When the subjective satisfaction was irrational or there was non-application of mind, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the order of detention is liable to be quashed. It is relevant to extract paragraphs No.10 and 11 of the said judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court:-

“10.In our opinion, if details are given by the respondent authority about the alleged bail orders in similar cases mentioning the date of the orders, the bail application number, whether the bail order was passed in respect of the co-accused in the same case, and



whether the case of the co-accused was on the same footing as the case of the petitioner, then, of course, it could be argued that there is likelihood of the accused being released on bail, because it is the normal practice of most courts that if a co-accused has been granted bail and his case is on the same footing as that of the petitioner, then the petitioner is ordinarily granted bail. However, the respondent authority should have given details about the alleged bail order in similar cases, which has not been done in the present case. A mere ipse dixit statement in the grounds of detention cannot sustain the detention order and has to be ignored.

11. In our opinion, the detention order in question only contains ipse dixit regarding the alleged imminent possibility of the accused coming out on bail and there was no reliable material to this effect. Hence, the detention order in question cannot be sustained."

6. In view of the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and in view of the aforesaid facts, this Court is of the view that the detention order is liable to be quashed.



H.C.P.No.1846 of 2023

WEB COPY 7. Accordingly, the detention order passed by the 2nd respondent, in No.373/BCDFGISSSV/2023, dated 23.08.2023, is hereby set aside and the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed. The detenu viz., Nareshkumar, S/o.Kathiravan, aged 23 years, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith unless he is required in connection with any other case.

(S.S.S.R., J.) (S.M., J.)
22.12.2023

mkn

Internet : Yes

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

To

1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Department of Prohibition and Excise,
Fort St. George,
Chennai – 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai,
Office of the Commissioner of Police,
Vepery, Chennai.

Page 6 of 8



H.C.P.No.1846 of 2023

WEB COPY

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Puzhal, Chennai.

4.The Inspector of Police,
E-1 Mylapore Police Station,
Mylapore, Chennai.

5.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1846 of 2023

S.S. SUNDAR, J.
and
SUNDER MOHAN, J.

mkn

H.C.P.No.1846 of 2023

22.12.2023