



Crl.R.C.No.1612 of 2023

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.09.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE **R. HEMALATHA**

Crl.R.C.No.1612 of 2023

Ramesh

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu
Represented by
Inspector of Police,
Auroville Police Station,
Auroville, Villupuram District.
(Crime No.215/2023)

... Respondent

Prayer : Criminal Revision Case filed under Section 397 r/w. 401 of Criminal Procedure Code to set aside the orders dated 25.08.2023 made in Cr.M.P.No.4082/2023 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vanur, Villupuram District.

For Petitioner : Mr.Swarnam J. Rajagopalan

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja, GA (Crl. Side)



Crl.R.C.No.1612 of 2023

WEB COPY

ORDER

Challenge in this revision is made to the orders dated 25.08.2023 made in Cr.M.P.No.4082/2023 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vanur, Villupuram District.

2. The revision petitioner is the owner of the vehicle, namely, JCB Lorry bearing Registration No.TN-16-J-2849, which was seized by the respondent police in connection with Crime No.141/2023 of Auroville Police Station, Villupuram District, for the offences punishable under Sections 379 IPC r/w. 21 (1) Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulations) Act, 1957.

3.The present petitioner filed a petition in Cr.M.P.No.4082/2023 under Section 457 & 451 Cr.P.C., before the Judicial Magistrate, Vanur, seeking interim custody of the said vehicle and the petition was dismissed by the learned Judicial Magistrate Vanur, on 25.08.2023 on the ground that the vehicle was involved in illegal transportation of sand. Aggrieved over the said orders, the present



Crl.R.C.No.1612 of 2023

criminal revision case is filed.

4. Mr.Swarnam J. Rajagopalan, learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the present petitioner is innocent and he is neither involved in the alleged crime nor present in the scene of occurrence. His further contention is that if the seized vehicle is kept in an open space the value of the vehicle would diminish over a period of time.

5. Mr.R.Vinothraja, learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly conceded that there are no bad antecedents as against the accused and present vehicle is also not involved in any other crime.

6. In this back drop, it is relevant to refer a decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai and others Vs. State of Gujarat*** reported in (2002) 10 SCC 283, in which it is held thus:

“In our view, whatever be the situation, it is of no use to keep such seized vehicles at the police stations for a



Crl.R.C.No.1612 of 2023

WEB COPY

long period. It is for the Magistrate to pass appropriate orders immediately by taking appropriate bond and guarantee as well as security for return of the said vehicles, if required at any point of time. This can be done pending hearing of applications for return of such vehicles.

In case where the vehicle is not claimed by the accused, owner, or the insurance company or by third person, then such vehicle may be ordered to be auctioned by the Court. If the said vehicle is insured with the insurance company, then insurance company be informed by the Court to take possession of the vehicle, which is not claimed by the owner or a third person. If insurance Company fails to take possession the vehicles may be sold as per the direction of the Court. The Court would pass such order within a period of six months from the date of production of the said vehicle before the Court. In any case, before handing over possession of such vehicles, appropriate photographs of the said vehicle should be taken and detailed panchanama should be prepared.”

7. It is true that keeping the vehicle idle in an open space, would definitely impair the value of the vehicle over a period of time. As



Crl.R.C.No.1612 of 2023

WEB COPY

such, considering the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, this Court is inclined to grant interim custody of the vehicle to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the Criminal Revision Case is allowed and the impugned order dated 25.08.2023 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vanur, Villupuram District, in Cr.M.P.No.4082/2023 is set aside and the interim custody of the vehicle is given to the petitioner on the following conditions :

- i. The petitioner shall prove the ownership of his vehicle by producing R.C.Book and other relevant records;
- ii. The R.C. book shall be deposited in the Court and the Court shall issue certificate in this regard.
- iii. The petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.2,00,000/- (Rupees Two Lakhs Only) before the trial Court.
- iv. The Court may prepare a panchanama in Judicial Form No.82 with regard to the vehicle bearing Registration No. No.TN-16-J-2849 and such panchanama can be used in



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.1612 of 2023

evidence.

- v. The Court shall take photograph of the vehicle bearing Registration No.TN-16-J-2849 and certify the same under Section 65B of the Central Act 1 of 1972 and such photographs may be used as secondary evidence.
- vi. The petitioner shall not alienate or encumber the vehicle in any manner whatsoever;
- vii. The petitioner shall give an undertaking that he would not use the vehicle for any illegal activities in future; and
- viii. The petitioner shall also produce the vehicle as and when required by the court below and by the respondent police.

25.09.2023

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-Speaking order
mtl



Crl.R.C.No.1612 of 2023

WEB COPY

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate, Vanur, Villupuram District.

2.The Inspector of Police, Auroville Police Station, Auroville,
Villupuram District.



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.1612 of 2023

R. HEMALATHA, J.
mtl

Crl.R.C.No.1612 of 2023

25.09.2023