



WEB COPY



Cont.petr.No.2727 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **02.08.2023**

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.VAIDYANATHAN
AND
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ

Contempt Petition No.2727 of 2022

Chandran

...

Petitioner

...Vs...

1. Supriya Sahu,
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Environment and Forest Department,
Fort St. George,
Chennai- 600 009.
2. Mr.Syed Muzammil Abbas,
The Principal Chief Conservator of Forest,
Office at Panagal Maligai,
Saidapet, Chennai-600 015.
- 3.Mr.Dr.Murugan
The District Forest Officer,
Thiruvannamalai Forest Division,
Thiruvannamalai,
Vellore Circle.

....

Respondents



Cont.petr.No.2727 of 2022

Prayer: Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971

to punish the Respondents for deliberate violation of the order of this Court passed in W.A.No.1812 of 2018 dated 16.02.2022.

For Petitioner : Mr.Sudhakaran
for M/s.Karan and Uday

For Respondents : Mr.J.Ravindran
Additional Advocate General
Assisted by
Mr.S.John J Raja Singh
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

This Contempt Petition has been filed alleging non compliance of the judgment dated 16.02.2022 passed in W.A.No.1812 of 2018 by this Court.

2. When the matter was taken up for hearing on 11.07.2023, the learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the Respondents submitted that pursuant to the Government Order issued in G.O.(2D) No.139 dated 20.06.2023, the 3rd Respondent had issued proceedings dated 22.06.2023 to the Petitioner and the appointment given to him is without prejudice to his rights in the Review Petition.



Cont.petr.No.2727 of 2022

WEB COPY

2. Today when the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that though an Appointment Order was issued to the petitioner, without prejudice to the rights of the parties in the Review Petition, the entitlement of the petitioner to the consequential benefits have not been reflected in the Government Order and hence an errata may be issued, incorporating the consequential benefits that would be extended to him depending upon the outcome of the review petition.

3. We are not inclined to make any observation on that score. It is made clear that the petitioner will get appropriate relief based on the order that is going to be passed in the Review petition.

In view of the aforesaid observation, this Contempt Petition stands closed.

[M.S.Q,J.,]

[S.V.N,J.,]

02.08.2023

arr

**S.VAIDYANATHAN, J
and**



WEB COPY



Cont.petn.No.2727 of 2022

MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ, J

arr

Contempt Petition No.2727 of 2022

02.08.2023