



WEB COPY

W.P.No.28267 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 16.11.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

W.P.No.28267 of 2023
and
W.M.P.No.27789 of 2023

L.Raji

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Greater Chennai Corporation,
Rep. by the Commissioner,
Greater Chennai Corporation,
Chennai – 600 003.

2. The Deputy Commissioner (R&F),
General Department,
Greater Chennai Corporation,
Chennai – 600 003.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records relating to the impugned order of the second respondent in Order in G.D.C.No.E14/12367/2022 dated 05.04.2023 and quash the same.

For Petitioner

: M/s.S.Shinu



WEB COPY

W.P.No.28267 of 2



For Respondents : Mr.S.Gopinath,
Standing Counsel

ORDER

Heard M/s.Shinu, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.S.Gopinath, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

2. On the ground of prolonged suspension, the petitioner seeks for interference to the suspension order through the present Writ Petition.

3. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the case of '**Ajay Kumar Choudhary v. Union of India**', reported in '**(2015) 7 SCC 291**', had set certain guidelines with regard to prolonged suspension. On a reference made by me, the Hon'ble Full Bench of this Court, in the case of '**P.Kannan Vs. Commissioner of Municipal Administration and others**' reported in '**(2022) 2 CTC 353**', had held that the judgement of the Apex Court, in the case of **Ajay Kumar Choudhary (supra)**, does not lay down an absolute proposition of law that an order of suspension cannot be continued beyond the period of three months on the circumstances mentioned therein and that the order of suspension should be analysed on the facts of each case, considering the gravity of charges and the Rules applicable. It was further



WEB COPY

held that the revocation of the suspension with a direction to the employer to post the delinquent in a non-sensitive post, as held in ***Ajay Kumar Choudhary's case (supra)***, also cannot be endorsed or directed as a matter of course.

4. In line with the orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as well as the Hon'ble Full Bench of this Court, the Government, in G.O.(Ms) No.81, Human Resources Management (N) Department, dated 04.08.2022, had stipulated a compendium of instructions for review of suspension orders in matter which are pending inquiry into grave charges.

5. On consideration of these instructions in G.O.(Ms) No.81, this Court is of the view that the respondent herein could be directed to review the order of suspension, in accordance with the guidelines issued thereunder.

6. In the light of the above findings, there shall be a direction to the 2nd respondent herein to consider the petitioner's representation dated 13.04.2023, seeking for revocation of the suspension order, in accordance



W.P.No.28267 of 2

with the instructions issued by the Government in G.O.(Ms).No.81, dated 04.08.2022, and pass appropriate orders, within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy this order.

7. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed. No costs.

16.11.2023

skr

Index : Yes / No

Speaking order / Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

To

1. The Commissioner,
Greater Chennai Corporation,
Chennai – 600 003.
2. The Deputy Commissioner (R&F),
General Department, Greater Chennai Corporation,
Chennai – 600 003.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.28267 of 2

M.S.RAMESH, J.

skr

W.P.No.28267 of 2023

16.11.2023