

Crl.O.P.No.21354 of 2023**RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN, J.**

The petitioners/A1 to A4, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of I.P.C., in Crime No.169 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there is a dispute in respect of the well and the common cart track between the 1st petitioner and the Soundararajan family. On 05.09.2023, when the coconut husk was unloaded in the Soundararajan land in S.F.No.1240/2 and at that instance, the 1st petitioner and his wife scolded the persons who working there. when the informant has videographed the same in his mobile phone, the accused persons got agitated and assaulted the informant. Hence the case.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that a case in counter is pending. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent would submit that due to previous enmity, the petitioners assaulted the informant and sustained injury. He would further submit that a case in counter is pending and the injured has been discharged from the hospital. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the FIR.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and also of the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Dharapuram**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing



which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

27.09.2023

nvi



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.21354 of 2023



RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN, J.

nvi

Crl.O.P.No.21354 of 2023

27.09.2023