



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.2069 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.04.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **M.SUNDAR**
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

H.C.P.No.2069 of 2022

Jothika

.. Petitioner

Vs

1.The State of Tamil Nadu represented by
its Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Department of Prohibition and Excise (Home),
Fort St.George, Chennai – 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Chennai City Police, Greater Chennai,
Commissioner Office, Vepery,
Chennai – 600 007.

3.The Inspector of Police,
D-4, Zam Bazaar Police Station,
Chennai.

4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison-II,
Puzhal, Chennai.

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India
praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus to call for the records

Page Nos.1/8



H.C.P.No.2069 of 2022

in

WEB COPY

Memo No.320/BCDFGISSSV/2022 dated 19.09.2022 on the file of the second respondent herein and set aside the same as illegal and produce Sekar @ City Sekar, aged about 55 years, son of Dass, who is confined at the Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.R.Sankarasubbu for Mr.Ilayaraja Kandasamy
For Respondents	:	Mr.R.Muniyapparaj Additional Public Prosecutor assisted by Mr.M.Sylvester John

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by **M.SUNDAR, J.,**]

Captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' ['HCP' for the sake of brevity] has been filed by daughter of the detenu assailing a 'preventive detention order dated 19.09.2022 bearing reference BCDFGISSSV No.320/2022' [hereinafter 'impugned detention order' for the sake of convenience and brevity]. To be noted, third respondent is the sponsoring authority and second respondent is the detaining authority as impugned detention order has been made by



H.C.P.No.2069 of 2022

second respondent.

WEB COPY

2. Impugned detention order has been made under 'The Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity] on the premise that the detenu is a 'Goonda' within the meaning of Section 2(f) of Act 14 of 1982.

3.The ground case which is the sole substratum of the impugned detention order is Crime No.122 of 2022 on the file of D-4 Zam Bazaar Police Station for alleged offences under Sections 147, 148, 341, 324, 302 r/w 34 of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity]. Owing to the nature of the challenge to the impugned detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix or be detained further by facts.



H.C.P.No.2069 of 2022

4. Mr.R.Sankarasubbu, learned counsel representing Mr.Ilayaraja

Kandasamy, learned counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor assisted by Mr.M.Sylvester John, learned counsel, for all respondents are before us.

5. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that 'live and proximate link' between the grounds of detention and purpose of detention has snapped as date of remand in the ground case is 18.08.2022 but the impugned detention order has been made only on 19.09.2022.

6. Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits to the contrary by saying that materials had to be collected and time was consumed for the same. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned State Additional Public Prosecutor is unacceptable.

7. We remind ourselves of **Sushanta Kumar Banik's** case



H.C.P.No.2069 of 2022

[**Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333**]. To be

noted, **Banik** case law arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering the proposal by the Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in **Banik** case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.

8. To be noted, **Banik** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in **Gomathi Vs.The Principal Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/334, Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/733, Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the**



H.C.P.No.2069 of 2022

Government and others reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1110, N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1159** and a series of other orders in HCP cases.

9. Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

10. Apropos, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned detention order dated 19.09.2022 bearing reference BCDFGISSSV No.320/2022 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru.Sekar @ City Sekar, aged about 55 years, son of Thiru.Dass, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.) (M.N.K.,J.)
10.04.2023

Index : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
mmi

Page Nos.6/8



H.C.P.No.2069 of 2022

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Department of Prohibition and Excise (Home),
Fort St.George, Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.The Commissioner of Police,
Chennai City Police, Greater Chennai,
Commissioner Office, Vepery,
Chennai – 600 007.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
D-4, Zam Bazaar Police Station,
Chennai.
- 4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison-II,
Puzhal, Chennai.
- 5.The Public Prosecutor
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.2069 of 2022

**M.SUNDAR, J.,
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR , J.,**

mmi

H.C.P.No.2069 of 2022

10.04.2023

Page Nos.8/8