



H.C.P.No.2067 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 03.04.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR
and

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

H.C.P.No.2067 of 2022

Maheshwari
W/o.Sivakumar

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. State represented by
Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009
2. The District Magistrate and District Collector
Tiruppur District,
Collector Office,
Tiruppur.
3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Tiruppur District,
Tiruppur.
4. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison - Coimbatore,
Coimbatore District.

Page Nos.1/8



H.C.P.No.2067 of 2022

WEB COPY

5. The Inspector of Police,
Uthukuli Police Station,
Tiruppur District.

..Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ order or direction in the nature of WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, to call for the entire records, relating to the petitioner's son detention under Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982 vide detention order, dated 09.09.2022 on the file of the 2nd respondent made in proceedings Memo Cr.M.P.No.65/Goonda/2022 and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the respondents to produce the petitioner's son namely Prakash Kumar, S/o.Sivakumar, aged 24 years before this Hon'ble High Court and set the petitioner's son at liberty from detention, now the petitioner's son detained at Central Prison, Coimbatore.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.Jayan
For Respondents	:	Mr.R.Muniyapparaj Additional Public Prosecutor assisted by Mr.M.Sylvester John, Advocate

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR, J.,]

Captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' ['HCP' for the sake of brevity] has been filed by mother of the detenu assailing a 'preventive detention order dated 09.09.2022 bearing reference Cr.M.P.No.65/Goonda/2022'



H.C.P.No.2067 of 2022

[hereinafter 'impugned detention order' for the sake of convenience and brevity]. To be noted, fifth respondent is the sponsoring authority and second respondent is the detaining authority as the impugned detention order has been made by second respondent.

2. Impugned detention order has been made under 'The Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity] on the premise that the detenu is a 'Goonda' within the meaning of Section 2(f) of Act 14 of 1982.

3. There is no adverse case. This solitary case which is the sole substratum of the impugned detention order is Crime No.234 of 2022 on the file of Uthukuli Police Station for alleged offences under Section 302 of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity] subsequently altered into Sections 302, 120B, 458



H.C.P.No.2067 of 2022

and 34 of IPC. Owing to the nature of the challenge to the impugned detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix or be detained further by facts.

4. Mr.Jayan, learned counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, assisted by Mr.M.Sylvester John, learned counsel for all respondents are before us.

5. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that 'live and proximate link' between the grounds of detention and purpose of detention has snapped as date of arrest in the ground case is 04.07.2022 but the impugned detention order has been made only on 09.09.2022.

6. Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits to the contrary by saying that materials had to be collected and time was consumed in this exercise. Considering the facts / circumstances of the case on hand and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned Prosecutor is unacceptable.



H.C.P.No.2067 of 2022

7. We remind ourselves of ***Sushanta Kumar Banik's*** case [***Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333***]. To be noted, ***Banik*** case law arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering a proposal by a Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in ***Banik*** case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and the other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.

8. To be noted, ***Banik*** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in ***Gomathi Vs. The Principal Secretary to Government and others*** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being ***2023/MHC/334, Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others*** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being



H.C.P.No.2067 of 2022

2023/MHC/733, Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the Government and

others reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being

2023:MHC:1110, N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others

reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being

2023:MHC:1159 and a series of other orders in HCP cases.

9. Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

10. Apropos, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned detention order dated 09.09.2022 bearing reference Cr.M.P.No.65/Goonda/2022 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru.Prakash Kumar, aged 24 years, son of Thiru.Sivakumar, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.)

(M.N.K.,J.)

03.04.2023

Index : Yes

Speaking

Neutral Citation : Yes

mk

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Coimbatore.

Page Nos.6/8



H.C.P.No.2067 of 2022

WEB COPY

To

1. State represented by
Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009
2. The District Magistrate and District Collector
Tiruppur District,
Collector Office,
Tiruppur.
3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Tiruppur District,
Tiruppur.
4. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison - Coimbatore,
Coimbatore District.
5. The Inspector of Police,
Uthukuli Police Station,
Tiruppur District.
6. The Public Prosecutor
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.2067 of 2022

M.SUNDAR, J.,
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.,

mk

H.C.P.No.2067 of 2022

03.04.2023
(5/5)

Page Nos.8/8