

Crl.O.P.No.21215 of 2023**RMT. TEEKAA RAMAN.,J.**

WEB COPY

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 498(A), 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(2) of I.P.C., in Crime No.269 of 2023, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to family dispute, the petitioners herein have demanded dowry from the de-facto complainant and also attacked the de-facto complainant, due to which the de-facto complainant sustained injury. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that due to family dispute, the petitioners herein have demanded dowry from the de-facto complainant and also attacked the de-facto complainant, due to which the de-facto complainant sustained injury. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.21215 of 20



RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN,J.,

nvi

5.After hearing the learned counsel on either side and taking into consideration of the facts, due to the nature and gravity of the offence committed by the petitioners herein, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

19.09.2023

nvi

Crl.O.P.No.21215 of 2023