



WEB COPY



Writ Appeal No.1503 of 2017

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated: 07.07.2023

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.SURESH KUMAR
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU**

**Writ Appeal No.1503 of 2017 &
CMP.No.2223 of 2018**

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep., by its Secretary to Govt.,
Environment and Forest,
Secretariat, Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.The Secretary to Govt.,
The State of Tamil Nadu,
Environment and Forest (FR2) Dept.,
Secretariat, Chennai – 600 009.
- 3.The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests,
15, Jeenis Road, Panagal Building,
Saidapet, Chennai -600 015. ... Appellants

Vs

- 1.Rajarathinam
- 2.S.Jayaraj
- 3.I.Sekar
- 4.G.Tamilzhselvi
- 5.G.Chitra
- 6.M.Dhanam



Writ Appeal No.1503 of 2017

7.J.Ayyammal
8.M.Rajamani
9.S.Krishnaveni
10.S.Shyamala
11.B.Rathna
12.C.Vasanth
13.M.Parimala
14.S.Malini
15.K.Prakash

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of Letter Patent against the order dated 26.11.2013 made in W.P.No.18383 of 2012.

For Appellants : Mr.K.V.Sanjeev Kumar Spl.G.P

For Respondent : Mr.R.Jayaprakash for RR1,4 to 7, R9 to R15

No Appearance for RR2, 3 & 8

JUDGMENT

(Order of the Court was made by Mr.R.SURESHKUMAR.,J.)

Though the appeal has been directed against the order passed by the Writ Court dated 26.11.2013 in W.P.No.18383 of 2012, where the Writ Court allowed the said Writ Petition filed by the private respondents herein under which they were directed to be absorbed as regular employees like Forest Watcher by way of creating supernumerary post, today when the



Writ Appeal No.1503 of 2017

appeal is taken up for hearing, Mr.R.Jayaprakash, learned counsel appearing for the private respondents on instructions would submit that during the pendency of the Writ Appeal, the private respondents pursuant to the order passed by the Writ Court which is impugned herein, were taken back and they have been appointed as such. Therefore, the prayer sought for in these Writ Appeals has become infructuous.

2. The said statement given by the learned counsel appearing for the private respondents is taken on record, which could not be controverted by the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the appellants. Considering this aspect, we are inclined to dismiss this Writ Appeal having become infructuous and accordingly the Writ Appeal is dismissed as infructuous. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed. However there shall be no order as to costs.

(R.S.K.,J.)

(K.B., J.)

07.07.2023

Index: Yes/No

Speaking Order/Non Speaking Order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Page No.3/5



pbn
WEB COPY



Writ Appeal No.1503 of 2017



WEB COPY



Writ Appeal No.1503 of 2017

R.SURESH KUMAR., J.
and
K.KUMARESH BABU.,J.

pbn

Writ Appeal No.1503 of 2017

07.07.2023