



Crl.O.P.No.20461 of 2023

Crl.O.P.No.20461 of 2023

M.DHANDAPANI,J.

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 323, 506(ii) and 420 of I.P.C. and 75(1)(c) of TN City Police Act, 1888 in Cr.No.473 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner approached the defacto complainant and borrowed a sum of Rs.25 Lakhs and when the said amount was demanded by the defacto complainant, the petitioner is alleged to have abused the defacto complainant.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and further submitted that the petitioner's father borrowed the amount from the defacto complainant and the defacto complainant demanded the petitioner to repay the amount and prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.20461 of 2023

M.DHANDAPANI,J.

pri

for the respondent submitted that the petitioner borrowed a sum of Rs.25 Lakhs from the defacto complainant and failed to repay the amount and further submitted that earlier, the petitioner approached this Court by filing Crl.O.P.Nos.2785 of 2021 and 13141 of 2022 and this Court dismissed the said petitions and the petitioner has committed a heinous offence and vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.Considering the offence committed by the petitioner and the nature of the offence is heinous and since there is no change in circumstances subsequent to the order passed in Crl.O.P.Nos.2785 of 2021 and 13141 of 2022, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6.This criminal original petition is accordingly dismissed.

14.09.2023

pri

Crl.O.P.No.20461 of 2023

2/2