



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1966 of 2022

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS**

**DATED : 23.03.2023**

**CORAM**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR**

**and**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH**

**H.C.P.No.1966 of 2022**

G.Prema  
W/o.Ganesan

.. Petitioner

**Vs.**

1.The State of Tamil Nadu  
represented by its  
Secretary to Government,  
Department of Prohibition and Excise (Home),  
Fort St.George, Chennai - 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,  
Chennai City Police, Commissioner Office,  
Egmore, Chennai - 600 008.

3.The Superintendent of Police,  
Central Jail, Puzhal, Chennai.

4.The Inspector of Police,  
E-1 Mylapore Police Station, Chennai.

.. Respondents



H.C.P.No.1966 of 2022

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus calling for the records relating to the impugned order in BCDFGISSSV No.250/2022 dated 22.08.2022 on the file of second respondent herein and set aside the same as illegal and direct the respondents to produce the detenu Sathish @ Olli Sathish S/o.Ganesan, aged about 31 years, now confined at Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Iyappan  
For Respondents : Mr.R.Muniyapparaj  
Additional Public Prosecutor  
assisted by  
M.Sylvester John, Advocate

### **ORDER**

[Order of the Court was made by **M.SUNDAR, J.**]

Captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' ['HCP' for the sake of brevity] has been filed by mother of detenu assailing a 'preventive detention order dated 22.08.2022 bearing reference BCDFGISSSV No.250/2022' [hereinafter 'impugned detention order' for the sake of convenience and brevity]. To be noted, fourth respondent is the sponsoring authority and second respondent is the detaining authority as the impugned detention order has been made by second respondent.



*H.C.P.No.1966 of 2022*

2. Impugned detention order has been made under 'The Tamil Nadu

Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity] on the premise that the detenu is a 'Goonda' within the meaning of Section 2(f) of Act 14 of 1982.

3. There are two adverse cases and one ground case. The ground case which constitutes a sizable chunk of the substratum of the impugned detention order is Crime No.241 of 2022 on the file of E-1 Mylapore Police Station for alleged offences under Sections 341, 294(b), 336, 397 and 506(ii) of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity]. Owing to the nature of the challenge to the impugned detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix or be detained further by facts.



H.C.P.No.1966 of 2022

4. Mr.P.Iyappan, learned counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, assisted by Mr.M. Sylvester John, Advocate, for all respondents are before us.

5. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that 'live and proximate link' between the grounds of detention and purpose of detention has snapped as date of arrest in the ground case is 06.06.2022 but the impugned detention order has been made only on 22.08.2022.

6. Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits to the contrary by saying that materials had to be collected and time was consumed for the same. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned Prosecutor is unacceptable.

7. We remind ourselves of ***Sushanta Kumar Banik's*** case [***Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333***]. To be noted, ***Banik*** case law



H.C.P.No.1966 of 2022

arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering the proposal by the Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in **Banik** case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under both facets i.e., unreasonable delay and unexplained delay.

8. To be noted, **Banik** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in **Gomathi Vs. The Principal Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/334, Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/733, Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being



H.C.P.No.1966 of 2022

**2023:MHC:1110, N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others**

reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being

**2023:MHC:1159** and a series of other orders in HCP cases.

9. Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

Apropos, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned detention order dated 22.08.2022 bearing reference BCDFGISSSV No.250/2022 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru.Sathish @ Olli Sathish, aged 31 years, son of Thiru.Ganesan is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases.

(M.S.,J.)

(N.A.V.,J.)

23.03.2023

Index : Yes

Speaking Order

Neutral Citation : Yes

gm

**P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.**



*H.C.P.No.1966 of 2022*

To

WEB COPY

1. The Secretary to Government,  
Department of Prohibition and Excise (Home),  
Fort St.George, Chennai - 600 009.
2. The Commissioner of Police,  
Chennai City Police, Commissioner Office,  
Egmore, Chennai - 600 008.
3. The Superintendent of Police,  
Central Jail, Puzhal, Chennai.
4. The Inspector of Police,  
E-1 Mylapore Police Station, Chennai.
5. The Public Prosecutor  
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



*H.C.P.No.1966 of 2022*

**M.SUNDAR, J.**  
**and**  
**N.ANAND VENKATESH, J.**

gm

**H.C.P.No.1966 of 2022**

**23.03.2023**