



H.C.P.No.1969 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.03.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR
and

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

H.C.P.No.1969 of 2022

K.Kavitha
W/o.Kasirajan

.. Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu
represented by its
Principal Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai - 9.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Collectorate, Coimbatore District.
- 3.The Superintendent of Police,
Coimbatore District,
Coimbatore.
- 4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Coimbatore - 641 018.



H.C.P.No.1969 of 2022

5. The Inspector of Police,
Karumathampatti Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
Crime No.233 of 2022

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus to produce the body of the detenu by name P.Kasirajan @ Kasi, son of Paunraj, aged 35 years, presently confined at Central Prison, Coimbatore, before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith, after calling for the records pertaining to the detention order dated 02.08.2022 in Cr.M.P.No.22/D.O./2022/E1 passed by the second respondent, quash the same.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.R.Darshan for Mr.N.Manokaran
For Respondents	:	Mr.R.Muniyapparaj Additional Public Prosecutor assisted by M.Sylvester John, Advocate

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by **M.SUNDAR, J.**]

Captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' ['HCP' for the sake of brevity] has been filed by wife of detenu assailing a 'preventive detention order dated 02.08.2022 bearing reference Cr.M.P.No.22/D.O/2022/E1' [hereinafter 'impugned detention order' for the sake of convenience and brevity]. To be noted, fifth respondent is the sponsoring authority and second respondent is



H.C.P.No.1969 of 2022

the detaining authority as the impugned detention order has been made by second respondent.

2. Impugned detention order has been made under 'The Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity] on the premise that the detenu is a 'Drug Offender' within the meaning of Section 2(e) of Act 14 of 1982.

3. There is one adverse case and one ground case. The ground case which constitutes a sizable chunk of the substratum of the impugned detention order is Crime No.233 of 2022 on the file of Karumathampatti Police Station for alleged offences under Section 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(C) and 25 of 'Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985' [hereinafter 'NDPS Act' for the sake of convenience and clarity]. Owing to the nature of the challenge to the impugned detention order, it is not necessary to delve



H.C.P.No.1969 of 2022

into the factual matrix or be detained further by facts.

WEB COPY

4. Mr.R.Darshan, learned counsel representing Mr.N.Manokaran, learned counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, assisted by Mr.M. Sylvester John, Advocate, for all respondents are before us.

5. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that 'live and proximate link' between the grounds of detention and purpose of detention has snapped as date of arrest in the ground case is 17.04.2022 but the impugned detention order has been made only on 02.08.2022.

6. Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits to the contrary by saying that materials had to be collected and time was consumed for the same. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned Prosecutor is unacceptable.



H.C.P.No.1969 of 2022

7. We remind ourselves of ***Sushanta Kumar Banik's*** case [***Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333***]. To be noted, ***Banik*** case law arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering the proposal by the Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in ***Banik*** case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under both facets i.e., unreasonable delay and unexplained delay.

8. To be noted, ***Banik*** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in ***Gomathi Vs.The Principal Secretary to Government and others*** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being ***2023/MHC/334, Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and***



H.C.P.No.1969 of 2022

WEB COURT

others reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/733, Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1110, N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1159** and a series of other orders in HCP cases.

9. Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

Apropos, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned detention order dated 02.08.2022 bearing reference Cr.M.P.No.22/D.O/2022/E1 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru.P.Kasirajan @ Kasi, aged 35 years, son of Thiru.Paunraj is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases.

(M.S.,J.) (N.A.V.,J.)
23.03.2023



H.C.P.No.1969 of 2022

Index : Yes/No
Speaking Order/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation : Yes/No
gm

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Coimbatore.

To

- 1.The Principal Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai - 9.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Collectorate, Coimbatore District.
- 3.The Superintendent of Police,
Coimbatore District,
Coimbatore.
- 4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Coimbatore - 641 018.
- 5.The Inspector of Police,
Karumathampatti Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
Crime No.233 of 2022
- 6.The Public Prosecutor
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1969 of 2022

M.SUNDAR, J.
and
N.ANAND VENKATESH, J.

gm

H.C.P.No.1969 of 2022

23.03.2023