



WEB COPY



C.M.P.No.248 of 2022
in C.M.A.SR.No.98334 of 2021

N.SESHASAYEE, J.

Respondents 1 and 2, driver and owner of the offending vehicle remained ex parte before the Tribunal, respectively. Since the claimant seeks enhancement of compensation, this Court considers that notice to the first respondent is not necessary. So far as the second respondent is concerned, there is no representation on behalf of him, as the claimant also seeks to fix the liability on the second respondent.

2.Ms.R.Sreevidhya, learned counsel takes notice for the third respondent.

3.Having satisfied with the reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit filed in support of this petition, this petition stands allowed. However, in the eventuality of the appellant succeeding in the appeal, he would be entitled to interest only from the date on which the appeal is taken on record by the Registry.

4.The Registry is directed to number the above C.M.A.SR.No.98334 of 2021, if the papers are otherwise in order.

12.12.2023

Anu