



Crl.R.C.No.1507 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 07.09.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE **R. HEMALATHA**

Crl.R.C.No.1507 of 2023

Dhivagar

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Rep. by its
The Inspector of Police
Taluk Police Station
Villupuram District.
(Crime No.189 of 2023)

... Respondent

Prayer : Criminal Revision Case filed under Section 397 r/w.401 of Criminal Procedure Code, to set aside the orders dated 30.06.2023 in C.M.P.No.4798/2023 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Villupuram.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Saravana Kumar

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja, GA (Crl. Side)



Crl.R.C.No.1507 of 2023

WEB COPY

ORDER

Challenging the orders dated 30.06.2023 in C.M.P.No.4798 of 2023 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Villupuram, the present Criminal Revision is filed.

2. The revision petitioner is the accused in Crime No.189/2023 of Taluk Police Station, Villupuram District. He filed a petition in C.M.P.No.4798 of 2023 under Section 451 Cr.P.C., seeking interim custody of the vehicle, namely, viz., Ashok Leyland Lorry bearing Registration No.TN-30-L-0815, which was seized by the respondent police in connection with Crime No.189/2023 of Taluk Police Station, Villupuram District, for the offence punishable under Sections 379 IPC r/w. 21 (1) of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulations) Act, 1957. Learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Villupuram dismissed the petition vide orders dated 30.06.2023 on the ground that he does not have jurisdiction to decide the said petition. Aggrieved over the said orders, the present criminal revision case is filed.



Crl.R.C.No.1507 of 2023

WEB COPY

3.Mr.S.Saravana Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner contended that if the vehicle is kept in an open space, the value of the vehicle would diminish over a period of time. He therefore prayed for return of the same.

4.Mr.R.Vinothraja, learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) did not raise any serious objection to return the vehicle to the present revision petitioner.

5.In this back drop, it is relevant to refer a decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai and others Vs. State of Gujarat*** reported in (2002) 10 SCC 283, in which it is held thus:

“In our view, whatever be the situation, it is of no use to keep such seized vehicles at the police stations for a long period. It is for the Magistrate to pass appropriate orders immediately by taking appropriate bond and guarantee as well as security for return of the said vehicles, if required at any point of time. This can be done pending hearing of applications for return of such vehicles.



Crl.R.C.No.1507 of 2023

In case where the vehicle is not claimed by the accused, owner, or the insurance company or by third person, then such vehicle may be ordered to be auctioned by the Court. If the said vehicle is insured with the insurance company, then insurance company be informed by the Court to take possession of the vehicle, which is not claimed by the owner or a third person. If insurance Company fails to take possession the vehicles may be sold as per the direction of the Court. The Court would pass such order within a period of six months from the date of production of the said vehicle before the Court. In any case, before handing over possession of such vehicles, appropriate photographs of the said vehicle should be taken and detailed panchanama should be prepared.”

6. It is true that keeping the vehicle idle in an open space, would definitely impair the value of the vehicle over a period of time. As such, considering the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, this Court is inclined to grant interim custody of the vehicle to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Revision Case is allowed and the impugned order dated 30.06.2023 passed by the learned Judicial



Crl.R.C.No.1507 of 2023

Magistrate No.1, Villupuram in C.M.P.No.4798 of 2023 is set aside and the interim custody of the vehicle is given to the petitioner on the following conditions :

- i. The petitioner shall prove the ownership of his vehicle by producing R.C.Book and other relevant records;
- ii. The R.C. book shall be deposited in the Court and the Court shall issue certificate in this regard.
- iii. The petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.2,00,000/- (Rupees Two Lakhs Only) before the trial Court.
- iv. The Court may prepare a panchanama in Judicial Form No.82 with regard to the vehicle bearing Registration No.TN-30-L-0815 and such panchanama can be used in evidence.
- v. The Court shall take photograph of the vehicle bearing Registration No.TN-30-L-0815 and certify the same under Section 65B of the Central Act 1 of 1972 and such photographs may be used as secondary evidence.



Crl.R.C.No.1507 of 2023

- vi. The petitioner shall not alienate or encumber the vehicle in any manner whatsoever;
- vii. The petitioner shall give an undertaking that he would not use the vehicle for any illegal activities in future; and
- viii. The petitioner shall also produce the vehicle as and when required by the court below and by the respondent police.

07.09.2023

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-Speaking order
mtl

To

1. The State Rep. by its
The Inspector of Police
Taluk Police Station
Villupuram District.
(Crime No.189 of 2023)

2. The Judicial Magistrate No.1, Villupuram.

R. HEMALATHA, J.



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.1507 of 2023

mtl

Crl.R.C.No.1507 of 2023

07.09.2023